

## April 22, 2020

### ADVANCE NOTICE OF READOPTION OF EMERGENCY REGULATIONS

This notice is sent in accordance with Government Code Section 11346.1(a)(2), which requires that State of California agencies give a five working day advance notice of intent to file emergency regulations with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL). The California Health Benefit Exchange ("Exchange") intends to file a request for readoption of the Emergency Rulemaking package with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) that establishes the hardship and religious conscience exemptions process through the individual Exchange. As required by subdivisions (a)(2) and (b)(2) of Government Code Section 11346.1, this notice appends the following: (1) the specific language of the proposed regulation to readopt Sections 6910, 6912, 6914, 6916, 6918, 6920 and 6922 of Chapter 12, Title 10 of California Code of Regulations; and (2) the Finding of Emergency, including specific facts demonstrating the need for immediate action, the authority and reference citations, the informative digest and policy statement overview, attached reports, and required determinations.

The Exchange plans to file the request for readoption of the Emergency Rulemaking package with OAL at least five working days from the date of this notice. If you would like to make comments on the Finding of Emergency or the proposed regulations (also enclosed), they must be received by both the Exchange and the Office of Administrative Law within five calendar days of the Exchange's filing at OAL. Responding to these comments is strictly at the Exchange's discretion.

Comments should be sent simultaneously to:

Faviola Adams
Regulations Coordinator
California Health Benefit Exchange
1601 Exposition Blvd.
Sacramento, CA 95815

Office of Administrative Law 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1250 Sacramento, CA 95814

Comments may also be submitted by facsimile (FAX) at 916-228-4468 or by e-mail to regulations@covered.ca.gov.

EXEC. DIRECTOR Peter V. Lee

Upon filing, OAL will have ten (10) calendar days within which to review and make a decision on the proposed emergency rule. If approved, OAL will file the regulations with the Secretary of State, and the emergency regulations will become effective on the day of filing. These regulations will remain in effect until January 2025 or until revised by the Board pursuant to Government Code Section 100725(c). Please note that this advance notice and comment period is not intended to replace the public's ability to comment during the subsequent certification period of the permanent rulemaking process. The Exchange will hold a public hearing and 45-day comment period after it has published notice to make these regulations permanent.

You may also review the proposed regulatory language and Finding of Emergency on the Exchange's website at:

https://www.coveredca.com/hbex/regulations/.

If you have any questions concerning this advance notice, please contact Faviola Adams at (916) 228-8668.

#### FINDING OF EMERGENCY

The Director of the California Health Benefit Exchange finds that an emergency exists and that this proposed emergency regulation is necessary to address a situation that calls for immediate action to avoid serious harm to the public peace, health, safety, or general welfare.

The Exchange seeks an emergency readoption to allow for the necessary and immediate incorporation of the state requirements and federal regulations. In seeking this readoption, the Exchange is acting in accordance with Section 100725 of the Government Code, which permits emergency regulations to be adopted and in effect for five years, allowing for the reconciliation process between state and federal law to occur rapidly and regularly, as may be warranted. Specifically, the Exchange is proposing to readopt these regulations to reflect changes in State and federal authorizing laws, and to specify program requirements to reflect best practices in the Exchange.

#### **DEEMED EMERGENCY**

The necessity of this regulation to be readopted immediately has been declared by the Legislature in Section 100725(c) of the Government Code, which grants the Exchange with emergency rule making authority:

Until January 1, 2022, any rules and regulations necessary to implement this title may be adopted as emergency regulations in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2). The adoption of emergency regulations shall be deemed to be an emergency and necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, or general welfare. Notwithstanding Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2, including subdivisions (e) and (h) of Section 11346.1, an emergency regulation adopted pursuant to this section shall be repealed by operation of law unless the adoption, amendment, or repeal of the regulation is promulgated by the board pursuant to Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 within five years of the initial adoption of the emergency regulation. An emergency regulation adopted pursuant to this section shall be discussed by the board during at least one properly noticed board meeting before the board meeting at which the board adopts the regulation. Notwithstanding subdivision (h) of Section 11346.1, until January 1, 2027, the Office of Administrative Law may approve more than two readoptions of an emergency regulation adopted pursuant to this section."

## FINDING OF NECESSITY

The Exchange must grant exemptions year-round for hardship and religious conscience, as required by Title 24 of the Government Code. The Exchange is also required, under Section 100715 of the Government Code, to establish a process for determining whether an individual is entitled to a hardship or religious conscience exemption and for issuing a certificate of exemption to qualified individuals.

Furthermore, the Exchange is taking over the federal exemption process to determine eligibility and issue certificates of exemption for hardship and religious conscience exemptions to consumers over the age of 30 who wish to enroll in a catastrophic plan. These proposed regulations are therefore closely aligned with the federal exemption process set forth in Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 155, Subpart G. Additionally, some of the proposed regulations language was modeled after the existing State regulations in Title 10 of the California Code of Regulations, Articles 2, 4 and 5.

Specifically, the proposed amended regulations are modeled after the following federal and State regulations:

Section 6910: 45 CFR Section 155.600; 10 CCR Sections 6410 and 6452.

Section 6912: 45 CFR Sections 155.605 and 155.610; 10 CCR Section 6470.

Section 6914: 45 CFR Section 155.605; 10 CCR Section 6408.

Section 6918: 45 CFR Section 155.615; 10 CCR Sections 6478, 6480, 6490, and 6492.

Although federal regulations do not specify what constitutes hardship for the general hardship exemption, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight, has issued guidance providing examples of the circumstances that do constitute hardship. (Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight, *Guidance on Hardship Exemption Criteria and Special Enrollment Periods* (June 26, 2013), available at https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Regulations-and-Guidance/Downloads/exemptions-guidance-6-26-2013.pdf.) The Exchange has relied on this guidance to provide a list of the general hardships in Section 6914(c)(2), which is necessary to provide clarity and guidance for the consumers.

Thus, these proposed regulations are necessary for the Exchange to comply with the State and federal laws and regulations set forth above.

The Exchange has reviewed and refined these proposed emergency regulations and is amending the process for granting exemptions as follows:

#### Section 6910:

- Added the definition for "Qualified Individual" to account for the individuals Covered California determines eligible for a general hardship exemption without submitting an exemption application, such as the non-federally recognized Al/AN members. This is necessary to prevent consumer confusion and to clarify and make specific that the non-federally recognized Al/AN members are not required to submit an exemption application to receive a general hardship exemption.
- Section 6912:

- Revised paragraphs (6) and (8) of subdivision (c) to add Individual Taxpayer Identification Number (ITIN) as a required data element on the exemptions applications if the applicant does not have an SSN but has an ITIN. This is necessary to allow FTB accurately identify the tax filer who is claiming the exemption at tax time. This is also necessary to provide clear guidelines for the applicants as to what data elements they are required to provide when filing an exemption application through the Exchange.
- Revised subdivision (e)(3) to specify that the applicant filing a general hardship exemption application is required to provide a start and end date for their hardship. This is necessary to comply with the requirement that a general hardship must be granted for at least the month before, the month or months during, and the month after a specific event or circumstance, as specified in Section 6914(c). This is also necessary to provide clear guidelines for the applicants as to what data elements they are required to provide when filing a general hardship exemption application through the Exchange.
- Revised subdivision (f), paragraphs (1) (4) and added new paragraphs (5) (16), to specify all the data elements the applicants are required to provide to apply for the affordability hardship exemption. These changes are necessary to comply with the requirements specified in Section 6918(c)(1) that the Exchange "shall verify the unavailability of affordable coverage through the procedures used to determine eligibility for APTC." In order to properly determine eligibility for, and the amount of, premium assistance subsidies, the Exchange must collect necessary eligibility information from the applicants. These changes are also necessary to provide clear guidelines for the applicants as to what data elements they are required to provide when filing an affordability hardship exemption application through the Exchange.

## Section 6914:

- Revised subdivision (a) to specify that the requirements of this subdivision apply to general hardship as well as affordability hardship. This is necessary to comply with the federal regulations in 45 CFR Section 155.605(a) and to provide the consumers with clear guidelines regarding the eligibility standards for the exemptions.
- Revised subdivision (c) to clarify that the requirement that a general hardship must be granted for at least the month before, the month or months during, and the month after a specific event or circumstance does not apply to the hardship exemption granted to the non-federally recognized AI/AN members specified in subdivision (c)(1)(D).
- Revised subdivision (c)(1) to specify that a "qualified individual" may qualify for a general hardship exemption, as well as an applicant, if he or she meets the requirements specified in that subdivision.
- Added a new paragraph (D) to subdivision (c)(1) to specify that individuals who are eligible for services through an Indian health care provider or through the Indian Health Service (IHS) shall be deemed eligible for a hardship exemption on

- a continuing basis without submitting an exemption application and obtaining a certificate of exemption through the Exchange. This is necessary to comply with and implement the requirements specified in 45 CFR Section 155.605(e)(3). This is also necessary to provide the non-federally recognized Al/AN members with clear guidelines relating to their eligibility for a general hardship.
- Revised subdivision (c)(2)(C) to clarify that the consumer must have received a shut-off notice from the utility company due to the consumer's inability to pay the utility bill, not due to the utility company's planned outages. This is necessary to provide the consumers with clear guidelines as to their eligibility for a general hardship.
- Revised subdivision (d)(2) to clarify that an employer-sponsored plan is considered in an affordability hardship determination only if it meets the minimum value standard (i.e., the plan pays for at least 60% of the cost of covered benefits under the plan). This is necessary to comply with the requirements specified in 45 CFR Section 155.605(d)(2)(ii), and to provide the consumers with clear guidelines as to their eligibility for an affordability hardship exemption.
- Revised subdivision (d)(3)(C) related to affordability of employer-sponsored plans to clarify how the affordability is determined when an eligible employer-sponsored plan offers nondiscriminatory wellness program incentives. This is necessary to comply with the requirements specified in 45 CFR Section 155.605(d)(2)(iii)(B) and 26 CFR Section 1.5000A-3(e)(3)(ii)(F). This is also necessary to provide the consumers with clear guidelines as to their eligibility for an affordability hardship exemption.
- Added subdivision (d)(5) to specify and make clear that the affordability percentage, which is currently set at 8.24% for 2020 calendar year, will be indexed annually by the United States Secretary of Health and Human Services. This is necessary to comply with the requirements specified in Section 61020(a)(4) of the Revenue and Taxation Code, 45 CFR Section 155.605(d)(2), 26 CFR Section 1.5000A-3(e)(2)(ii), and 26 USC Section 5000A(e)(1)(D). This is also necessary to provide the consumers with clear guidelines as to their eligibility for an affordability hardship exemption. Although this language is duplicative of the provision in subdivision (a)(4) of Section 61020 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, it is necessary to be added to these regulations to avoid confusion for the consumers by providing clarity (without the need to refer to the statute) that the affordability percentage specified in these regulations is not final and will be annually indexed. (1 CCR § 12(b)(1).)

#### Section 6918:

Revised subdivision (b)(1) to clarify and make specific that the Exchange must accept a copy of an approved IRS Form 4029 ("Application for Exemption from Social Security and Medicare Taxes and Waiver of Benefits") from the applicants with required signatures as proof of eligibility for a religious conscience exemption without further verification. This is necessary to provide the consumers with clarity about the type of evidentiary documents that would be accepted for verification related to exemption for the religious conscience. It is

- also necessary to comply with the requirement under 45 CFR Section 155.615(b).
- Revised subdivision (b)(2) to clarify that the Exchange must accept the applicant's attestation of membership in a religious sect or division if the exchange obtains the information necessary to verify whether that religious sect or division is recognized by the Social Security Administration. This is necessary to comply with the requirements specified in 45 CFR Section 155.615(b)(2).
- Revised subdivision (b)(3) to clarify that the applicant must provide documentation to the Exchange to demonstrate that their religious sect or division relies solely on a religious method of healing and that the acceptance of medical health services would be inconsistent with the religious beliefs of the applicant. This is necessary to provide the consumers with clear guidelines as to the eligibility criteria for the religious conscience exemption.
- Revised subdivision (b)(4) to clarify the inconsistency process if Covered California cannot verify the sect or division to which the applicant is attesting. This is necessary to comply with the requirements specified in 45 CFR Section 155.615(b)(3), and to provide the consumers with clear guidelines as to the eligibility criteria for the religious conscience exemption.
- Revised subdivision (b)(5) to clarify the process the Exchange must follow if it remains unable to verify the applicant's religious sect or division. This is necessary to comply with the requirements specified in 45 CFR Section 155.615(b)(4), and to provide the consumers with clear guidelines as to the verification process for the religious conscience exemption.
- Revised subdivision (c) to specify that for any applicant who requests an exemption based on general hardship, except for the homelessness and domestic violence hardships, and the hardship exemption for individuals eligible for services through an Indian health care provider or IHS, the Exchange must verify whether the applicant has experienced the hardship to which the applicant is attesting. This is necessary to comply with the requirements specified in 45 CFR Section 155.615(c)(1), and to provide the consumers with clear guidelines as to the verification process for the general hardship exemption.

Modifications have been made to the underlying emergency text in underline and strikethrough. Modifications and underlying emergency text are both subject to public comment.

The Exchange intends to make permanent these regulations within the five years Government Code Section 100725(c) provides for.

#### **AUTHORITY AND REFERENCE**

Authority: Sections 100504 and 100725, Government Code. Reference: Sections 100502, 100503, 100506, 100506.1, 100506.2, 100705, 100715 and 100800, Government Code; Section 61010, Revenue and Taxation Code; 26 USC

Sections 1402 and 5000A; 42 USC Section 13031; 26 CFR Sections 1.5000A-3 and 54.9802-1; 42 CFR Section 447.51; 45 CFR Sections 155.600, 155.605, 155.610, 155.615, 155.620, 155.635 and 156.145.

#### **DOCUMENTS RELIED UPON**

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight, *Guidance on Hardship Exemption Criteria and Special Enrollment Periods* (June 26, 2013), available at <a href="https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Regulations-and-Guidance/Downloads/exemptions-guidance-6-26-2013.pdf">https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Regulations-and-Guidance/Downloads/exemptions-guidance-6-26-2013.pdf</a>.

#### **CONSULTATION REQUIREMENT**

The Exchange complied with the consultation requirement specified in Government Code Section 100725, which requires the Exchange to consult with the Franchise Tax Board when promulgating regulations to implement Title 24 of the Government Code.

#### INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY STATEMENT OVERVIEW

## Documents to be incorporated by reference:

<u>26 CFR Section 1.5000A-1(d)(10) (December 26, 2013), 42 CFR Section 447.51 (October 1, 2011), 45 CFR Section 156.145 (February 27, 2015), and 26 CFR Section 54.9802-1(f) (February 24, 2014).</u>

## **Summary of Existing Laws**

Under the federal Patient and Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA), each state is required, by January 1, 2014, to establish an American Health Benefit Exchange that makes available qualified health plans to qualified individuals and small employers. Existing state law, the California Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, established the California Health Benefit Exchange within state government. (Gov. Code, § 100500 et seq.)

Title 24 of the Government Code created the Minimum Essential Coverage Individual Mandate to require California residents and their spouse or dependents enroll and maintain minimum essential coverage for each month beginning on and after January 1, 2020, receive an exemption, or pay a penalty. It also requires the Exchange to grant exemptions from the State mandate for reason of hardship or religious conscience and requires the Exchange to establish a process for determining eligibility for an exemption and issuing a certificate of exemption to eligible individuals. Furthermore, it granted the Exchange emergency rulemaking authority through January 1, 2022 for establishing the hardship and religious conscience exemptions process.

The proposed regulations will amend the Exchange's policies and procedures for determining eligibility for religious conscience and hardship exemptions and issuing a certificate of exemption to eligible individuals.

These proposed regulations will benefit the public by providing clear guidelines for obtaining a religious conscience and hardship exemptions through the Exchange.

After an evaluation of current regulations, the Exchange has determined that these proposed regulations are not inconsistent or incompatible with the existing regulations.

### JUSTIFICATION FOR DUPLICATION

These proposed regulations were developed with significant stakeholder engagement to implement and clarify the mandates of the PPACA and the requirements of the federal regulations. These regulations duplicate texts from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' (HHS) regulations in 45 C.F.R. Part 155 related to the Exchange establishment standards and other related standards under the PPACA. This duplication is necessary to provide clarity, to avoid consumer's confusion, and to put all applicable requirements in one place. (1 CCR § 12(b)(1).)

# MATTERS PRESCRIBED BY STATUTE APPLICABLE TO THE AGENCY OR TO ANY SPECIFIC REGULATION OR CLASS OF REGULATIONS

None.

#### LOCAL MANDATE

The Executive Director of the California Health Benefit Exchange has determined that this proposed regulatory action does not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

## **FISCAL IMPACT ESTIMATES**

This proposal does not impose costs on any local agency or school district for which reimbursement would be required pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of the Government Code. This proposal does not impose other nondiscretionary cost or savings on local agencies.

#### COSTS OR SAVINGS TO STATE AGENCIES AND TO FEDERAL FUNDING

There will be no costs or savings in federal funding to the state. The proposal results in additional costs to the California Health Benefit Exchange, which is currently financially self-sustaining and is not funded by federal grant money. The proposal does not result in any costs or savings to any other state agency.

Modifications have been made to the underlying emergency text in underline and strikethrough. Modifications and underlying emergency text are both subject to public comment.

**California Code of Regulations** 

Title 10. Investment

Chapter 12. California Health Benefit Exchange

Article 13. Hardship and Religious Conscience Exemptions Process Through the Exchange

Readopt Section 6910 with Amendments

§ 6910. Definitions and General Requirements.

- (a) For purposes of this article, the following terms shall have the following meanings:
- (1) "Applicant" means an individual who is seeking an exemption from the Minimum Essential Coverage Individual Mandate established in Title 24 of the Government Code or from the requirement to maintain minimum essential coverage under Section 5000A of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 5000A) for the purpose of enrollment in a catastrophic plan through an application submitted to the Exchange.
- (2) "Application filer" means an applicant, an individual who is liable for the Individual Shared Responsibility Penalty under Part 32 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code or the shared responsibility payment under Section 5000A(b) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 5000A(b)) for an applicant, an authorized representative, or if the applicant is a minor or incapacitated, someone acting responsibly for an applicant.
- (3) "Certificate of exemption" is a certification attesting that the individual is exempt from the Minimum Essential Coverage Individual Mandate established in Title 24 of the Government Code or from the requirement to maintain minimum essential coverage under

section 5000A of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 5000A) and the Individual Shared Responsibility Penalty imposed under Section 61010 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

- (4) "Exemption" means an exemption from the Minimum Essential Coverage Individual Mandate established in Title 24 of the Government Code and the Individual Shared Responsibility Penalty imposed under Section 61010 of the Revenue and Taxation Code or from the requirement to maintain minimum essential coverage under section 5000A of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 5000A) for the purpose of enrollment in a catastrophic plan through an application submitted to the Exchange.
- (5) "Household income" has the same meaning given the term in Section 5000A(c)(4)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 5000A(c)(4)(B)) and in 26 CFR Section 1.5000A-1(d)(10) (December 26, 2013), hereby incorporated by reference.
- (6) "Incarcerated" has the same meaning given the term in Section 6410 of Article 2 of this chapter.
- (7) "Indian tribe" has the same meaning given the term in Section 6410 of Article 2 of this chapter.
- (8) "Individual Shared Responsibility Penalty" has the same meaning given the term -in Section 61010 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.
- (9) "Projected household income" means the household income that the applicant reasonably expects for the calendar year.
  - (10) "Qualified Individual" means an individual who meets the eligibility standards for exemption through the Exchange, as specified in Section 6914.
  - (11) "Required contribution" has the same meaning given the term in Section 5000A(e)(1)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 5000A(e)(1)(B)).

- (11)(12) "Tax filer" has the same meaning given the term in Section 6410 of Article 2 of this chapter. "Tax filer" also includes an individual who is required to make a return to the Franchise Tax Board in accordance with Section 18501 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.
- (b) For purposes of this article, any attestation that an applicant is to provide under this article may be made by the application filer on behalf of the applicant.
- (c) For purposes of this article, information obtained through electronic data sources, information provided by the applicant, or other information in the records of the Exchange shall be considered to be reasonably compatible with an applicant's attestation if the difference or discrepancy does not impact the applicant's eligibility for the exemption or exemptions for which the applicant applied.
- (d) Information, including notices, forms, and applications, shall be provided to applicants in accordance with the accessibility and readability standards specified in Section 6452.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 100504 and 100725, Government Code. Reference: Sections 100502, 100705 and 100715, Government Code; Section 61010, Revenue and Taxation Code; 26 USC Section 5000A; 45 CFR Section 155.600.

Readopt Section 6912 with Amendments

## § 6912. Exemption Applications.

- (a) The Exchange shall use an exemption application to collect the applicant's information necessary to determine the applicant's eligibility for religious conscience and hardship exemptions.
- (b) To apply for a religious conscience or hardship exemption, an applicant or an application filer shall submit all information, documentation, and declarations required on the

application, as specified in subdivisions (c), (d), and (e) of this section, and shall sign and date the application.

- (c) An applicant or an application filer shall provide the following information on the applicable exemption application:
  - (1) The applicant's full name (first, middle, if applicable, and last name).
- (2) The applicant's home and mailing address, if different from home address, the applicant's county of residence and telephone number(s). For an applicant who does not have a home address, only a mailing address shall be provided.
- (3) The applicant's email address, if the applicant chooses to get correspondence via email from the Exchange.
  - (4) The applicant's preferred written and spoken language.
  - (5) The applicant's date of birth.
  - (6) The applicant's Social Security Number or Individual Taxpayer Identification

    Number, if one has been issued to the applicant.
  - (7) The applicant's gender.
- (8) For each individual included on the applicant's state tax return, including the applicant and the applicant's spouse or domestic partner, the individual's relationship to the applicant, the individual's full name, date of birth, Social Security Number or Individual

  Taxpayer Identification Number, if the individual has one, gender, and whether the individual wants an exemption.
- (d) For a religious conscience exemption, as specified in Section 6914(b), an applicant or an application filer shall provide the following information on the religious conscience exemption application in addition to the information specified in subdivision (c) of this section:

- (1) The year for which the applicant or any individual in the applicant's tax household is requesting the exemption.
- (2) Whether the applicant or any individual in the applicant's tax household is a member of recognized religious sect or division described in section 1402(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 1402(g)(1)) and adheres to the established tenets or teachings of such sect or division.
- (3) Whether the applicant or any individual in the applicant's tax household has an approved IRS Form 4029 ("Application for Exemption from Social Security and Medicare Taxes and Waiver of Benefits") with required signatures. If so, a copy of the approved form shall be submitted with the application.
- (4) Whether the applicant or any individual in the applicant's tax household is a member of a religious sect or division that is not described in Section 1402(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 1402(g)(1)), relies solely on a religious method of healing, and the acceptance of medical health services would be inconsistent with the religious beliefs of the individual. If so, an attestation signed by the applicant that the member has not received medical health services during the preceding taxable year shall be submitted with the application.
- (5) The full name and address of the religious sect or division the applicant or any individual in the applicant's tax household is a member of and the date they became a member.
- (e) For a general hardship exemption, as specified in Section 6914(c), an applicant or an application filer shall provide the following information on the general hardship exemption application in addition to the information specified in subdivision (c) of this section:
  - (1) The type of hardship.
  - (2) The tax year for which the hardship exemption is requested.

- (3) The <u>start and end dates for</u> the hardship-started and ended, or whether the hardship is <u>ongoing</u>.
- (f) For an affordability hardship exemption, as specified in Section 6914(d), an applicant or an application filer shall provide the following information on the affordability hardship exemption application in addition to the information specified in subdivision (c) of this section:
- (1) The year and months the applicant or any individual in the applicant's tax household requests the exemption.
- (2) Whether the applicant or any other individuals on the exemption application has been offered employer-sponsored health coverage and the name of such individuals.
- (3) Documentation of the cost of the premium for the employer-sponsored coverage for the applicant and any covered family members and whether the employer-sponsored coverage meets the minimum value standards, as defined in 45 CFR Section 156.145 (February 27, 2015), hereby incorporated by reference.
- (4) The applicant's projected household income from all sources for the year the applicant has requested the exemption, including tax-exempt foreign earned income and tax-exempt income from interest that the applicant receives or accrues during the taxable year, if any, and proof of such income. If self-employed, the amount of net income. The projected household income does not include income from child support payments, veteran's payments, and Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment (SSI/SSP).
- (5) The applicant's expected type and amount of the tax deductions that the applicant is allowed to deduct from the applicant's taxable gross income when calculating the applicant's adjusted gross income on the applicant's federal income tax return.

- (6) Whether the applicant intends to file federal and state income tax returns for the year for which the applicant is requesting coverage, and if so, the applicant's expected tax-filing status.
- (7) Whether the applicant is enrolled in minimum essential coverage through any government sponsored programs, as defined in Section 5000A(f)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 5000A(f)(1)(A)), or is eligible for full-scope Medi-Cal or free Medicare Part A.
- (8) The applicant's status as a U.S. Citizen or U.S. National, or the following information if the applicant is not a U.S. Citizen or U.S. National and attests to having lawful presence status:
  - (A) The applicant's immigration status;
  - (B) The applicant's immigration document type, identification number, country of issuance, expiration date, and the applicant's name as it appears on the document;
  - (C) Whether the applicant has lived in the U.S. since 1996; and
  - (D) Whether the applicant, or the applicant's spouse or parent, is a veteran or an active-duty member of the U.S. military.
- (9) Whether the applicant is pregnant, and if so, the number of babies expected and the expected delivery date.
  - (10) Whether the applicant is 18 to 20 years old and a full-time student.
- (11) Whether the applicant is 18 to 26 years old and lived in foster care on the applicant's 18th birthday or whether the applicant was in foster care and enrolled in Medicaid in any state.
- (12) Whether the applicant is 18 years old or younger, and if so, the number of parents living with the applicant.
- (13) Whether the applicant lives with at least one child under the age of 19 and is the primary person taking care of this child.

- (14) Whether anyone applying for an exemption on the application the applicant is incarcerated.
- (15) Whether the applicant is a primary tax filer or a tax dependent. If the applicant is a tax dependent, the non-applicant primary tax filer shall provide the information in subdivision (f)(1) through (14) of this section, except for the information in subdivision (f)(8).
- (16) For any other individuals seeking an exemption on the applicant's exemption application, all of the information in subdivision (f)(1) through (15) of this section.
- (g) If the applicant designates an authorized representative, the applicant shall provide the authorized representative's name and address, and the applicant's signature authorizing the designated representative to act on the applicant's behalf for the exemption application, eligibility and enrollment, and appeals process, if applicable.
- (h) An applicant or an application filer shall declare under penalty of perjury that the applicant or application filer:
- (1) Understood all questions on the application and gave true and correct answers to the best of the applicant's or application filer's personal knowledge.
- (2) Knows that if the applicant or application filer does not tell the truth on the exemption application, there may be a civil or criminal penalty for perjury pursuant to Penal Code Section 126.
- (3) Knows that the information provided on the exemption application shall only be-used for the purpose of determining exemption eligibility- for— the individuals listed on the application who are requesting an exemption, and that the Exchange shall keep such information private in accordance with the applicable federal and State privacy and security laws.

(4) Understands that the Exchange shall not discriminate against the applicant or anyone on the application because of race, color, national origin, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or disability, and that the applicant may make a complaint of discrimination through the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office for Civil Rights.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 100504 and 100725, Government Code. Reference: Sections 100502 and 100715, Government Code; <u>26 USC Section 5000A</u>; <u>45 CFR Sections 155.605</u>, <u>and 156.145</u>.

## Readopt Section 6914 with Amendments

# § 6914. Eligibility Standards for Exemptions Through the Exchange.

- (a) Except as specified in subdivisions (c) and (d) of this section, the Exchange shall determine an applicant eligible for and issue a certificate of exemption for the any month if the Exchange determines that the applicant meets the requirements for one or more of the exemptions described in this section for at least one day of the month.
- (b) The Exchange shall proceed in accordance with the following process for a religious conscience exemption:
- (1) The Exchange shall determine an applicant eligible for the religious conscience exemption for any month if, for that month, the applicant is either of the following:
- (A) A member of a recognized religious sect or division described in section 1402(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 1402(g)(1)) and adheres to the established tenets or teachings of such sect or division.
- (B) A member of a religious sect or division that is not described in Section 1402(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 1402(g)(1)), relies solely on a religious method of healing, the acceptance of medical health services would be inconsistent with the religious beliefs of the individual, and who includes an attestation that the individual has not received medical health

services during the preceding taxable year. For purposes of this paragraph, the term "medical health services" does not include routine dental, vision, and hearing services, midwifery services, vaccinations, necessary medical services provided to children, services required by law or by a third party, and other services as the Secretary of United States Department of Health and Human Services may provide in implementing Section 1311(d)(4)(H) of the federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (42 USC § 18031(d)(4)(H)). An individual who claims this exemption, but received medical health services during the coverage year, shall lose eligibility for the religious conscience exemption.

- (2) The Exchange shall grant a certificate of exemption to an applicant who meets the standard provided in subdivision (b)(1) of this section for a month on a continuing basis, until the last day of the month after the month of the individual's 21st birthday, or until such time that an individual reports that the individual no longer meets the standard provided in subdivision (b)(1) of this section, whichever comes first.
- (3) If the Exchange granted a certificate of exemption for religious conscience to an applicant before reaching the age of 21, the Exchange shall send the applicant a notice upon reaching the age of 21 informing the applicant that the applicant must submit a new exemption application to maintain the certificate of exemption.
- (4) The Exchange shall make an exemption in this category available prospectively or retrospectively.
- (c) Except as specified in subparagraph (D) of paragraph (1) of this subdivision, Tthe Exchange shall grant an exemption for general hardship to an applicant eligible for an exemption for at least the month before, the month or months during—which, and the month after a specific

event or circumstance, if the Exchange determines that the applicant meets at least one of the requirements specified in paragraph (1) of this subdivision.

- (1) To qualify for a hardship exemption, the applicant <u>or qualified individual</u> shall meet at least one of the following criteria:
  - (A) The applicant experienced financial or domestic circumstances, including an unexpected natural or human-caused event, such that the applicant had a significant, unexpected increase in essential expenses that prevented the applicant from obtaining coverage under a QHP.;
  - (B) The expense of purchasing a QHP would have caused the applicant to experience serious deprivation of food, shelter, clothing, or other necessities.
  - (C) The applicant has experienced other circumstances that prevented the applicant from obtaining coverage under a QHP. Such circumstances shall be determined by the Exchange on a case-by-case basis.
  - (D) The qualified individual is an Indian eligible for services through an Indian health care provider, as defined in 42 CFR Section 447.51 (October 1, 2011), hereby incorporated by reference, and not otherwise eligible for an exemption under subdivision (c)(5) of Section 100705 of the Government Code, or an individual eligible for services through the Indian Health Service (IHS), in accordance with 25 USC Section 1680c(a), (b), or (d)(3). A qualified individual who meets the standards specified in this subparagraph shall be:
    - 1. Deemed eligible for a hardship exemption without submitting an exemption application and obtaining a certificate of exemption through the Exchange; and

- 2. Exempt for a month on a continuing basis, until such time that the qualified individual no longer meets such standards.
- (2) General hardship includes, but is not limited to, the following circumstances where the applicant:
  - (A) Experienced homelessness;
  - (B) Was evicted in the past six months, or is facing eviction or foreclosure;
  - (C) Received a shut-off notice from a utility company due to inability to pay the utility bills;
  - (D) Recently experienced domestic violence;
  - (E) Recently experienced the death of a close family member;
  - (F) Recently experienced a fire, flood, or other natural or human-caused disaster;
  - (G) Filed for bankruptcy in the last six months;
  - (H) Incurred unreimbursed medical expenses in the last 24 months that resulted in substantial debt:
  - (I) Recently experienced an unexpected increase in essential expenses or decrease in household income due to divorce or separation, unexpected or sudden disability, or caring for an ill, disabled, or aging family member;
  - (J) Is a child who has been determined ineligible for Medi-Cal and CHIP, and for whom a party other than the party who expects to claim the child as a tax dependent is required by court order to provide medical support. This exemption shall only be provided for the months during which the medical support order is in effect; or
  - (K) As a result of an eligibility appeals decision, is determined eligible for enrollment in a QHP or financial assistance through the Exchange for a period of time

during which the applicant was not enrolled in a QHP through the Exchange. This exemption shall only be provided for the period of time affected by the appeals decision.

- (d) The Exchange shall determine an applicant eligible for a hardship exemption for a month or months during which the applicant, or another individual the applicant attests will be included in the applicant's tax household, is unable to afford coverage through the Exchange or an employer.
- (1) Eligibility for this exemption shall be based on the applicant's projected household income for the taxable year.
- (2) An individual cannot afford coverage in a month if the individual's required contribution, determined on an annual basis, for coverage for the month exceeds 8.3 percent of the individual's projected household income for the taxable year. An individual's projected household income shall include any amount of the required contribution made through a salary reduction arrangement that is excluded from gross income. An eligible employer-sponsored plan is only considered under subdivision (d)(3) of this section if it meets the minimum value standard described in 45 CFR Section 156.145.
- (3) For an individual who is eligible to purchase coverage under an eligible employersponsored plan, the required contribution for coverage shall be determined as follows:
  - (A) The required contribution for an employee who is eligible to purchase coverage under an eligible employer-sponsored plan sponsored by the employee's employer is the portion of the annual premium that the employee would pay (whether through salary reduction or otherwise) for the lowest cost self-only coverage.
  - (4)(B) The required contribution for an individual who is eligible for coverage under an eligible employer-sponsored plan by reason of a relationship to an employee, is

the portion of the annual premium that the employee would pay (whether through salary reduction or otherwise) for the lowest cost family coverage that would cover the employee and all other individuals who are included in the employee's family who have not otherwise been granted an exemption through the Exchange.

(C) Nondiscriminatory wellness program incentives, within the meaning of 26

CFR Section 54.9802-1(f) (February 24, 2014), hereby incorporated by reference, offered by an eligible employer-sponsored plan that affect premiums are treated as earned in determining an employee's required contribution for purposes of affordability of an eligible employer-sponsored plan to the extent the incentives relate exclusively to tobacco use. Wellness program incentives that do not relate to tobacco use or that include a component unrelated to tobacco use are treated as not earned for this purpose. For purposes of this paragraph, the term "wellness program incentive" has the same meaning as the term "reward" in 26 CFR Section 54.9802-1(f)(1)(i).

(5)(4) The required contribution for an individual who is only eligible to purchase minimum essential coverage in the individual market is the annual premium for the lowest cost bronze plan available in the individual market through the Exchange serving the rating area in which the individual resides (without regard to whether the individual purchased a QHP though the Exchange), reduced by the maximum amount of any premium assistance for the taxable year determined as if the individual was covered for the entire taxable year by a QHP offered through the Exchange. All members of the individual's tax household who have not otherwise been granted an exemption through the Exchange and who are not treated as eligible to purchase coverage under an eligible employer-sponsored plan shall be included to determine the required contribution.

- (5) In the case of plan years beginning in any calendar year after 2019, this subdivision shall be applied by substituting "8.3 percent" specified in subdivision (d)(2) of this section with an amount equal to 8 percent increased by the amount the United States Secretary of Health and Human Services determines reflects the excess of the rate of premium growth between the preceding calendar year and 2013 over the rate of income growth for that period.
- (6) The applicant shall apply for this exemption prior to the last date on which the applicant may enroll in a QHP through the Exchange for the month or months of a calendar year for which the exemption is requested.
- (7) The Exchange shall make this exemption available prospectively and provide it for all remaining months in a coverage year, notwithstanding any change in an individual's circumstances.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 100504 and 100725, Government Code. Reference: Sections 100502, and 100705, 100715, and 100800, Government Code; 26 USC Sections 1402 and 5000A; 42 USC Section 13031; 26 CFR Sections 1.5000A-3 and 54.9802-1; 42 CFR Section 447.51; 45 CFR Sections 155.605 and 156.145.

#### Readopt Section 6916

#### § 6916. Eligibility Process for Exemptions.

- (a) The Exchange shall use the exemption applications described in Section 6912 to collect information necessary for determining eligibility for and granting certificates of exemption as described in Section 6914.
- (b) If an individual submits the application specified in Section 6470 and then requests an exemption, the Exchange shall use the information collected for purposes of the eligibility determination for enrollment in a QHP and for insurance affordability programs in making the exemption eligibility determination. The Exchange shall not request duplicate information or

conduct repeat verifications to the extent that the Exchange finds that such information is still applicable and the standards for such verifications adhere to the standards specified in this article.

- (c) The Exchange shall accept the exemption applications specified in Section 6912 from an application filer and shall provide the tools for the application filer to file the application through any of the following channels:
  - (1) The Exchange's Internet Web site;
  - (2) Facsimile; or
  - (3) Mail.
- (d) The Exchange shall require an applicant who has a Social Security Number to provide such number to the Exchange. The Exchange shall not require an individual who is not seeking an exemption for oneself to provide a Social Security Number, except as specified in subdivision (e) of this section.
- (e) The Exchange shall require an application filer to provide the Social Security Number of a tax filer who is not an applicant only if an applicant attests that the tax filer has a Social Security Number and filed a tax return for the year for which tax data would be utilized for verification of household income and family size for the hardship exemption as specified in Section 6914(d).
- (f) The Exchange shall determine an applicant's eligibility for an exemption in accordance with the standards specified in Section 6914 and grant a certificate of exemption to any applicant determined eligible.

- (g) The Exchange shall determine an applicant's eligibility for exemption within 30 calendar days from the date the Exchange receives the applicant's complete exemption application.
- (h) The Exchange shall only accept an application for general hardship exemption described in Section 6914(c) during one of the three calendar years after the month or months during which the applicant attests that the hardship occurred.
- (i) The Exchange shall provide a written notice to an applicant of any eligibility determination made in accordance with this article within five business days from the date of the eligibility determination. In the case of a determination that an applicant is eligible for an exemption, this notification shall also include the exemption certificate number for the purpose of tax administration. This notice shall comply with the general standards for Exchange notices specified in Section 6454.
- (j) An individual shall retain the records that demonstrate receipt of the certificate of exemption and qualification for the underlying exemption. In the case of any factor of eligibility that is verified through use of the exception described in Section 6918(d)(3), the records that demonstrate qualification for the underlying exemption are the information submitted to the Exchange regarding the circumstances that warranted the use of the exception, as well as records of the Exchange decision to allow such exception.
- (k) If an applicant submits an incomplete exemption application that does not include sufficient information for the Exchange to conduct a determination for eligibility of an exemption, the Exchange shall:

- (1) Provide notice to the applicant indicating that information necessary to complete an eligibility determination is missing, specifying the missing information, and providing instructions on how to provide the missing information;
- (2) Provide the applicant with a period of 30 calendar days from the date on which the notice described in paragraph (1) of this subdivision is sent to the applicant to provide the information needed to complete the application to the Exchange. The Exchange may extend this period for an applicant if the Exchange determines on a case-by-case basis that the applicant has demonstrated a good-faith effort to obtain the required information during the period;
- (3) Not proceed with the applicant's eligibility determination during the period described in paragraph (2) of this subdivision; and
- (4) Deny the exemption application, notify the applicant in writing that the Exchange cannot process the exemption application, and provide appeal rights to the applicant if the Exchange does not receive the requested information within the 30-day period specified in paragraph (2) of this subdivision.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 100504 and 100725, Government Code. Reference: Sections 100503 and 100715, Government Code; 45 CFR Section 155.610.

## Readopt Section 6918 with Amendments

## § 6918. Verification Process Related to Eligibility for Exemptions Through the Exchange.

- (a) The Exchange shall verify or obtain information as provided in this section in order to determine that an applicant is eligible for an exemption.
- (b) For any applicant who requests an exemption based on religious conscience, the Exchange shall verify that the applicant meets the standards specified in Section 6914(b) in accordance with the following process:

- (1) Except as specified in paragraphs (2) and (3) of this subdivision, tThe Exchange shall accept a copy of an approved IRS Form 4029 ("Application for Exemption from Social Security and Medicare Taxes and Waiver of Benefits") with required signatures form that reflects that the applicant is exempt from Social Security and Medicare taxes under Section 1402(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 1402(g)(1)) without further verification.;
- (2) Except as specified in paragraphs (3), (4) and (5) of this subdivision, the Exchange shall accept the applicant's attestation of membership in a religious sect or division, and shall if the Exchange obtains the information necessary to verify that the religious sect or division to which the applicant attests membership is recognized by the Social Security Administration as an approved religious sect or division under Section 1402(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 1402(g)(1))2;
  - (3) Except as specified in paragraphs (4) of this subdivision:
  - (A) -Tthe Exchange shall accept the applicant's attestation that the applicant is a member of a religious sect or division described in Section 6914(b)(1)(B) and that the individual has not received medical health services, as specified in Section 6914(b)(1)(B), during the preceding taxable year-; and
  - (B) The applicant shall provide documentation to the Exchange to demonstrate that such religious sect or division relies solely on a religious method of healing and that the acceptance of medical health services would be inconsistent with the religious beliefs of the applicant.
- (4) If information provided by an applicant regarding the applicant's <u>religious sect or</u> <u>division described in Section 6914(b)(1)(B) or the applicant's membership in a such religious</u> sect or division is not reasonably compatible with other information provided by the individual

or in the Exchange's records, or if the Exchange is unable to verify the applicant's religious sect or division, as specified in paragraph (2) of this subdivision, the Exchange shall follow the inconsistency procedures specified in subdivision (d) of this section.

- (5) Except as specified in paragraphs (1) and (3) of this subdivision, if an applicant attests to membership in a religious sect or division that cannot be verified by the Exchange is not recognized by the Social Security Administration as an approved religious sect or division under Section 1402(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 1402(g)(1)), the Exchange shall provide the applicant with information regarding how the applicant's religious sect or division can pursue recognition under Section 1402(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC § 1402(g)(1)). The Exchange shall determine the applicant ineligible for this exemption until the Exchange obtains information indicating that the religious sect or division has been approved.
- (c) For any applicant who requests an exemption based on a general hardship, as specified in subdivision (c)(2) of Section 6914, except for the circumstances described in subdivisions (c)(2)(A) and (c)(2)(D) of Section 6914, the applicant shall provide documentation to the Exchange to demonstrate that the applicant has experienced the hardship to which the applicant is attesting. For any applicant who requests a hardship exemption based on lack of affordable coverage, the Exchange shall verify whether the applicant has experienced the hardship to which the applicant is attesting in accordance with the following process:
- (1) The Exchange shall verify the unavailability of affordable coverage through the procedures used to determine eligibility for APTC, including the procedures described in Section 6478, and the procedures used to verify eligibility for qualifying coverage in an eligible employer-sponsored plan, as specified in Section 6490.

- (2) The Exchange shall accept an application filer's attestation for an applicant regarding eligibility for minimum essential coverage other than through an eligible employer-sponsored plan, instead of following the procedures specified in Section 6480.
- (3) To the extent that the Exchange is unable to verify any of the information needed to determine an applicant's eligibility for an exemption based on hardship, the Exchange shall follow the procedures specified in subdivision (d) of this section.
- (d) Except as otherwise specified in this article, for an applicant for whom the Exchange cannot verify information required to determine eligibility for an exemption, including but not limited to when electronic data is required in accordance with this article but data for individuals relevant to the eligibility determination for an exemption are not included in such data sources or when electronic data is required but it is not reasonably expected that data sources will be available within one day of the initial request to the data source, the Exchange shall follow the inconsistency process specified in Section 6492, except as specified in paragraphs (1) through (3) of this subdivision.
- (1) During the 95-day period described in Section 6492(a)(2)(B), the Exchange shall not grant a certificate of exemption based on the information subject to this subdivision.
- (2) If, after the period described in paragraph (1) of this subdivision, the Exchange remains unable to verify the attestation, the Exchange shall:
- (A) Determine the applicant's eligibility for an exemption based on any information available from the data sources used in accordance with this article, if applicable, unless such applicant qualifies for the exception provided under paragraph (3) of this subdivision; and

- (B) Notify the applicant of such determination in accordance with the notice requirements specified in Section 6916(i), including notice that the Exchange is unable to verify the attestation.
- (3) For an applicant who does not have documentation with which to resolve the inconsistency through the process described in this subdivision because such documentation does not exist or is not reasonably available and for whom the Exchange is unable to otherwise resolve the inconsistency, the Exchange shall provide an exception, on a case-by-case basis, to accept an applicant's attestation as to the information which cannot otherwise be verified along with an explanation of circumstances as to why the applicant does not have documentation.
- (e) The Exchange shall not require an applicant to provide information beyond the minimum necessary to support the eligibility process for exemptions as described in this article.
- (f) The Exchange shall validate the applicant's Social Security Number in accordance with the following process:
- (1) For any individual who provides a Social Security Number to the Exchange, the Exchange shall transmit the individual's Social Security Number and other identifying information to HHS, which will submit it to the Social Security Administration.
- (2) To the extent that the Exchange is unable to validate an individual's Social Security Number through the Social Security Administration or the Social Security Administration indicates that the individual is deceased, the Exchange shall follow the inconsistency procedures specified in subdivision (d) of this section.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 100504 and 100725, Government Code. Reference: Sections 100503 and 100715, Government Code; 26 USC Section 1402; 45 CFR Section 155.615.

Readopt Section 6920

## § 6920. Eligibility Redeterminations for Exemptions During a Calendar Year.

- (a) The Exchange shall redetermine the eligibility of an individual with an exemption granted by the Exchange if it receives and verifies new information reported by such an individual, except for the exemption described in Section 6914(d).
- (b) An individual who has a certificate of exemption from the Exchange shall report any change with respect to the eligibility standards for the exemption as specified in Section 6914, except for the exemption described in Section 6914(d), to the Exchange within 30 days of such change through the channels available for the submission of an exemption application, as specified in Section 6916(c).
- (c) The Exchange shall verify any information reported by an individual with a certificate of exemption in accordance with the processes specified in Section 6918 prior to using such information in an eligibility redetermination, and shall:
- (1) Notify an individual in accordance with Section 6916(i) after redetermining the individual's eligibility based on a reported change; and
- (2) Provide periodic electronic notifications regarding the requirements for reporting changes and an individual's opportunity to report any changes, to an individual who has a certificate of exemption for which changes must be reported in accordance with subdivision (b) of this section and who has elected to receive electronic notifications, unless the individual has declined to receive such notifications.
- (d) The Exchange shall implement a change resulting from a redetermination under this section for the month or months after the month in which the redetermination occurs, such that a certificate that was provided for the month in which the redetermination occurs, and for prior months remains effective.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 100504 and 100725, Government Code. Reference: Sections 100503 and 100715, Government Code; 45 CFR Section 155.620.

## Readopt Section 6922

## § 6922. Right to Appeal.

The Exchange shall include the notice of the right to appeal and instructions regarding how to file an appeal in accordance with Article 7 of this chapter in any eligibility determination and redetermination notice issued to the applicant in accordance with Sections 6916(i), 6916(k)(4), 6918(d)(2)(B) and 6920(c)(1).

Note: Authority cited: Sections 100504 and 100725, Government Code. Reference: Sections 100503, 100506, 100506.1 and 100506.2, Government Code; 45 CFR Section 155.635.



April 22, 2020

## **DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE Table of Contents**

- 26 CFR Section 1.5000A-1(d)(10) (December 26, 2013)
- 26 CFR Section 54.9802-1(f) (February 24, 2014)
- 42 CFR Section 447.51 (October 1, 2011)
- 45 CFR Section 156.145 (February 27, 2015)

# §1.5000A-1 Maintenance of minimum essential coverage and liability for the shared responsibility payment.

- (a) In general. For each month during the taxable year, a nonexempt individual must have minimum essential coverage or pay the shared responsibility payment. For a month, a nonexempt individual is an individual in existence for the entire month who is not an exempt individual described in §1.5000A-3.
- (b) Coverage under minimum essential coverage—(1) In general. An individual has minimum essential coverage for a month in which the individual is enrolled in and entitled to receive benefits under a program or plan identified as minimum essential coverage in §1.5000A-2 for at least one day in the month.
- (2) Special rule for United States citizens or residents residing outside the United States or residents of territories. An individual is treated as having minimum essential coverage for a month—
- (i) If the month occurs during any period described in section 911(d)(1)(A) or section 911(d)(1)(B) that is applicable to the individual; or
- (ii) If, for the month, the individual is a bona fide resident of a possession of the United States (as determined under section 937(a)).
- (c) Liability for shared responsibility payment—(1) In general. A taxpayer is liable for the shared responsibility payment for a month for which—
  - (i) The taxpayer is a nonexempt individual without minimum essential coverage; or
- (ii) A nonexempt individual for whom the taxpayer is liable under paragraph (c)(2) or (c)(3) of this section does not have minimum essential coverage.
- (2) Liability for dependents—(i) In general. For a month when a nonexempt individual does not have minimum essential coverage, if the nonexempt individual is a dependent (as defined in section 152) of another individual for the other individual's taxable year including that month, the other individual is liable for the shared responsibility payment attributable to the dependent's lack of coverage. An individual is a dependent of a taxpayer for a taxable year if the individual satisfies the definition of dependent under section 152, regardless of whether the taxpayer claims the individual as a dependent on a Federal income tax return for the taxable year. If an individual may be claimed as a dependent by more than one taxpayer in the same calendar year, the taxpayer who properly claims the individual as a dependent for the taxable year is liable for the shared responsibility payment attributable to the individual. If more than one taxpayer may claim an individual as a dependent in the same calendar year but no one claims the individual as a dependent, the taxpayer with priority under the rules of section 152 to claim the individual as a dependent is liable for the shared responsibility payment for the individual.

- (ii) Special rules for dependents adopted or placed in foster care during the taxable year —(A) Taxpayers adopting an individual. If a taxpayer adopts a nonexempt dependent (or accepts a nonexempt dependent who is an eligible foster child as defined in section 152(f)(1) (C)) during the taxable year and is otherwise liable for the nonexempt dependent under paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section, the taxpayer is liable under paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section for the nonexempt dependent only for the full months in the taxable year that follow the month in which the adoption or acceptance occurs.
- (B) Taxpayers placing an individual for adoption. If a taxpayer who is otherwise liable for a nonexempt dependent under paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section places (or, by operation of law, must place) the nonexempt dependent for adoption or foster care during the taxable year, the taxpayer is liable under paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section for the nonexempt dependent only for the full months in the taxable year that precede the month in which the adoption or foster care placement occurs.
- (C) Examples. The following examples illustrate the provisions of this paragraph (c)(2)(ii). In each example the taxpayer's taxable year is a calendar year.
- Example 1. Taxpayers adopting a child. (i) E and F, married individuals filing a joint return, initiate proceedings for the legal adoption of a 2-year old child, G, in January 2016. On May 15, 2016, G becomes the adopted child (within the meaning of section 152(f)(1)(B)) of E and F, and resides with them for the remainder of 2016. Prior to the adoption, G resides with H, an unmarried individual, with H providing all of G's support. For 2016 G meets all requirements under section 152 to be E and F's dependent, and not H's dependent.
- (ii) Under paragraph (c)(2) of this section, E and F are not liable for a shared responsibility payment attributable to G for January through May of 2016, but are liable for a shared responsibility payment attributable to G, if any, for June through December of 2016. H is not liable for a shared responsibility payment attributable to G for any month in 2016, because G is not H's dependent for 2016 under section 152.
- Example 2. Taxpayers placing a child for adoption. (i) The facts are the same as Example 1, except the legal adoption occurs on August 15, 2016, and, for 2016, G meets all requirements under section 152 to be H's dependent, and not E and F's dependent.
- (ii) Under paragraph (c)(2) of this section, H is liable for a shared responsibility payment attributable to G, if any, for January through July of 2016, but is not liable for a shared responsibility payment attributable to G for August through December of 2016. E and F are not liable for a shared responsibility payment attributable to G for any month in 2016, because G is not E and F's dependent for 2016 under section 152.
- (3) Liability of individuals filing a joint return. Married individuals (within the meaning of section 7703) who file a joint return for a taxable year are jointly liable for any shared responsibility payment for a month included in the taxable year.
- (d) Definitions. The definitions in this paragraph (d) apply to this section and §§1.5000A-2 through 1.5000A-5.
- (1) Affordable Care Act. Affordable Care Act refers to the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Public Law 111-148 (124 Stat. 119 (2010)), and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Public Law 111-152 (124 Stat. 1029 (2010)), as amended.
  - (2) Employee. Employee includes former employees.

- (3) Exchange. Exchange has the same meaning as in 45 CFR 155.20.
- (4) Family. A taxpayer's family means the individuals for whom the taxpayer properly claims a deduction for a personal exemption under section 151 for the taxable year.
- (5) Family coverage. Family coverage means health insurance that covers more than one individual.
- (6) Group health insurance coverage. Group health insurance coverage has the same meaning as in section 2791(b)(4) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300gg-91(b)(4)).
- (7) Group health plan. Group health plan has the same meaning as in section 2791(a)(1) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300gg-91(a)(1)).
- (8) Health insurance coverage. Health insurance coverage has the same meaning as in section 2791(b)(1) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300gg-91(b)(1)).
- (9) Health insurance issuer. Health insurance issuer has the same meaning as in section 2791(b)(2) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300gg-91(b)(2)).
  - (10) Household income—(i) In general. Household income means the sum of—
  - (A) A taxpayer's modified adjusted gross income; and
  - (B) The aggregate modified adjusted gross income of all other individuals who—
  - (1) Are included in the taxpayer's family under paragraph (d)(4) of this section; and
  - (2) Are required to file a Federal income tax return for the taxable year.
- (ii) Modified adjusted gross income. Modified adjusted gross income means adjusted gross income (within the meaning of section 62) increased by—
  - (A) Amounts excluded from gross income under section 911; and
  - (B) Tax-exempt interest the taxpayer receives or accrues during the taxable year.
- (11) Individual market. Individual market has the same meaning as in section 1304(a)(2) of the Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. 18024(a)(2)).
- (12) Large and small group market. Large group market and small group market have the same meanings as in section 1304(a)(3) of the Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. 18024(a)(3)).
  - (13) Month. Month means calendar month.
- (14) Qualified health plan. Qualified health plan has the same meaning as in section 1301(a) of the Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. 18021(a)).
  - (15) Rating area. Rating area has the same meaning as in §1.36B-1(n).

- (16) Self-only coverage. Self-only coverage means health insurance that covers one individual.
- (17) Shared responsibility family. Shared responsibility family means, for a month, all nonexempt individuals for whom the taxpayer (and the taxpayer's spouse, if the taxpayer is married and files a joint return with the spouse) is liable for the shared responsibility payment under paragraph (c) of this section.
  - (18) State. State means each of the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

[T.D. 9632, 78 FR 53655, Aug. 30, 2013, as amended at 78 FR 78255, Dec. 26, 2013]

#### **ELECTRONIC CODE**

#### EDERAOF REGULATIONS X

### e-CFR data is current as of Ma rch 26, 2020 x

Title 26  $\rightarrow$  Chapter I  $\rightarrow$  Subchapter D  $\rightarrow$  Part 54 - §54.9802-1

Title 26: Internal Revenue

PART 54 ENSIP ON EXCISE TAXES x

<b>~</b> - 4		~ 4			
<b>6</b> 54	.980	2-1	Prol	nibitin	a discri

mi nation against participants and beneficiaries based on a

(a) Health factors. (1) The term health factor factors:

llowing hematth status, rierlarted attion to an i

- (i) Health status;
- (ii) Medical condition (including both physical and Ilnesses), as defined in §54.9801-2; me ntal i
- (iii) Claims e perience;
- (iv) Receipt of health care;
- (v) Medical history;
- (vi) Genetic information, as defined in §54.9802-3T.
- (vii) Evidence of insurability; or x
- (viii) Disability.
- (2) Evidence of insurability includes
- (i) Conditions arising out of acts of domestic violence; and
- (ii) Participation in activities such as motorcycling, snowmobiling, all-terrain vehicle riding, horseback riding, skiing, and other similar activities.
- (3) The decision whether health coverage is elected for an individual (including the time chosen to enroll, such as under special enrollment or late enrollment) is not, itself, within the scope of any health factor. (However, under §54.9801-6, a plan mu exattspecial enrollees the same as si ly situated liadividuals who are enrolled when first eligible.)
- (b) Prohibited discri mation in rules for eligibility 1) In general. (i) (A group health plan, and a health insurance issuer offering health insurance coverage in connection with a group health plan, no mation and insurance coverage in connection with a group health plan, no mation and insurance coverage in connection with a group health plan, no mation and insurance coverage to the provision of any individual to enroll for benefits under the ter en alth insurance coverage to the plan or discrimi established on any health factor that relates to that individual or a dependent of that individual. This rule is subject to the provisions of paragraph (b)(2) of this section (e plaining how this rule applies to benefits), paragraph (d) of this section (containing rules for establishing groups of sir e a a i mi la ly situat d individuals), pronoconfine not actively-at-work, and other service requirements), paragraph (f) of this section (relating to wellness progra and paragraph (g) of this section (per ting favornaible treatment of individuals with adverse health factors).
  - (ii) For purposes of this section, rules for eligibility include, but are not limited to, rules relating to
  - (A) Enrollment;
  - (B) The effective date of coverage;
  - (C) Waiting (or affiliation) periods;
  - (D) Late and special enrollment;
  - (E) Eligibility for benefit packages (including rules for individuals to change their selection a

- (F) Benefits (including rules relating to covered benefits, benefit restrictions, and cost sharing coinsurance, copay mescribes, iangle deglaratibe (\$),(2) and (3) of this section;
  - (G) Cronti uleignility; and
  - (H) Ter nating icoverage (including disenrollme nt) of any individual under the plan.
  - (iii) The rules of this paragraph (b)(1) are illustrated by the following e amples:
- Example 1. (i) Facts. An employer sponsors a group health plan that is available to all employees who enroll within the first 30 days of their empy mile. However, employees who do not enroll within the first 30 days cannot enroll later unless they pass a physical empirical employees.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 1, the requirement to pass a physical e amination in order to enroll in the plan is a rule for eligibility that discriminates based on one or more health factors and thus violates this paragraph (b)(1).
- Example 2. (i) Facts. Under an employer's group health plan, employees who enroll during the first 30 days of employment (and during special enrollment periods) may choose between two benefit packages: An indemnity option and an HMO option. However, employees who enroll during late enrollment are permitted to enroll only in the HMO option and only if they provide evidence of good health.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 2, the require nt tomerovide evidence of good health in order to be eligible for late enrollmen n the H MO option is a rule for eligibility that discriminates based on one or en health factormend thus violates this paragraph (b)(1). However, if the plan did not require evidence of good health but limited late enrollees to the H MO es foo efficiently what this paragraph (b)(1), because the time a n individual sesses to enroll is not, itself, within the scope of any health factor.
- Example 3. (i) Facts. Under an employer's group health plan, all employees generally may enroll within the first 30 days of employment. However, individuals who participate in certain recreational activities, including motorcycling, are e cluded from coverage.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 3, e cluding from the plan individuals who participate in recreational activities, such as motorcycling, is a rule for eligibility that discri mates based on one or more health factors and thus violates this paragraph (b)(1).
- Example 4. (i) Facts. A group health plan applies for a group health policy offered by an issuer. As part of the application, the issuer receives health information about individuals to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan. Individual A is an emp to be each to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan applies for a group health to be covered under the plan
- (ii) Conclusion. See Example 4 in 29 CFR 2590.702(b)(1) and 45 CFR 146.121(b)(1) for a conclusion that the e clusion by the issuer of A and A's dependents from coverage is a rule for eligibility that discriminates based on one or more health factors and violates rules under 29 CFR 2590.702(b) (1) and 45 CFR 146.121(b)(1) si I les under this aragraphi (b)(1)(1)(b)(
- (2) Application to benefits i) Ger(eral rule A) Un(ter this section, a group health plan is not required to provide coverage for any particular benefit to any group of si t i iduals. mi larly situa ed ind v
- (B) However, benefits provided under a plan must be uniformly available to all similarly situated individuals (as described in paragraph (d) of this section). Likewise, any restriction on a benefit or benefits must apply uniformly to all similarly situated individuals and must not be directed at individual participants or beneficiaries based on any health factor of the participants or beneficiaries (determined based on all the relevant facts and circumstances). Thus, for e ample, a plan may limit or e clude benefits in relation to a specific disease or condition, limit or e clude benefits for certain types of treat e clude benefits based on a determination of whether the benefits are e perimental or not medically necessary, but only if the benefit limitation or e clusion applies uniformly to all similarly situated individuals and is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries based on any health factor of the participants or beneficiaries. In addition, a plan or issuer satisfaction of a deductible, copay ance, or other costmentaring treexpuirs sur nt in order to obtain ea benefit if the li cost-sharing require me nt applieds siniformly is ituated individuals and is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries based on any health factor of the participants or beneficiaries. In the case of a cost-sharing requirement, see also paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section, which per t v in sith e application of a consit-shansingar a cheanis m mae avnaiteabled c under a wellness program. ( heVhn pletn pravision or practice with respect to benefits co (i) does not affect whether the provision or practice is per tted mider ERISA, the Affordable Care Act (including the requireme ts related to essential health benefits), the A Wine if the sistant, lor any other law, whether State or icans Federal.)
- (C) For purposes of this paragraph (b)(2)(i), a plan amendment applicable to all individuals in one or more groups of si arrhy situated individuals under the plan and made effective no earlier than the first day of the first plan year after the amendment is adopted is not considered to be directed at any individual participants or beneficiaries.
  - (D) The rules of this paragraph (b)(2)(i) are illustrated by the following e amples:

Example 1. (i) Facts. A group health plan applies a \$10,000 annual li tron a specific covered benefit that is not an essential health benefit to each x participant or beneficiary covered under the plan. The li tron to directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.

ma

- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 1, the li mi nt diedatedhis paragraph (b)(2)(i) because coverage of the specific, non essential health benefit up to \$10,000 is available unifor into each participant and beneficiary under the plan and because the li tris applied uniformly to all participants and beneficiaries and is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- Example 2. (i) Facts. A group health plan has a \$500 deductible on all benefits for participants covered under the plan. Participant *B* files a claim for the treat onto fAIDS. At the nene corporate board meeting of the plan sponsor, the claim is discussed. Shortly thereafter, the plan is into d f ed to impe a \$25,000 deductible on benefits for the treatment of AIDS, effective before the beginning of the net plan year.
- (ii) Conclusion. The facts of this Example 2 strongly suggest that the plan i ication is directed by based on B's clai nt outweighing evidence to the contrary, the plan violates this paragraph (b)(2)(i).
- Example 3. (i) A group health plan applies for a group health policy offered by an issuer. Individual C is covered under the plan and has an adverse health condition. As part of the application, the issuer receives health information about the individuals to be covered, including information about C's adverse health condition. The policy form offered by the issuer generally provides benefits for the adverse health condition that C has, but in this case the issuer offers the plan a policy modified by a rider that e cludes benefits for C for that condition. The e clusionary rider is made effective the first day of the ne t plan year.
- (ii) Conclusion. See Example 3 in 29 CFR 2590.702(b)(2)(i) and 45 CFR 146.121(b)(2)(i) for a conclusion that the issuer violates rules under 29 CFR 2590.702(b)(2)(i) and 45 CFR 146.121(b)(2)(i) similar to the rules under this paragraph (b)(2)(i) because benefits for C's condition are available to other individuals in the group of si individuals that individuals to C. Thus, the benefits are not uniformly available to all similarly situated individuals. Even though the e clusionary rider is made effective the first day of the net plan year, because the rider does not apply to all similarly situated individuals, the issuer violates the rules under 29 CFR 2590.702(b)(2)(i) and 45 CFR 146.121(b)(2)(i). If the plan provides coverage through this policy and does not provide equivalent coverage for C through other means, the plan violates this paragraph (b)(2)(i).
- Example 4. (i) Facts. A group health plan has a \$2,000 lifetime limit for the treatment of temporomandibular joint syndrome (TMJ). The li mi t is applied unifor and all similarly situated individuals and is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 4, the limit does not violate this paragraph (b)(2)(i) because \$2,000 of benefits for the treat mode of TMJ are available uniformly to all similarly situated individuals and a plan may limit benefits covered in relation to a specific disease or condition if the limit applies uniformly to all similarly situated individuals and is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries. (However, applying a lifetime limit on T MJ mailate PHSAct section 2711 and its implement regulations, if T MJeragecisvan essential health benefit, depending on the essential health benefits bench s defined in the plan provision is permissible under any other applicable law, including PHS Act section 2711 or the A icans with notices abilities Act.)
- Exa ntp 5. (i) Facts. A group health plan applies a \$2 million lifeti limmet on all benefits. However, the \$2 llion lifetime mit lismieduced to \$10,000 for any participant or beneficiary covered under the plan who has a congenital heart defect.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 5, the lower lifeti memit for participants and beneficiaries with a congenital heart defect violates this paragraph (b) (2)(i) because benefits under the plan are not uniformly available to all similarly situated individuals and the plan's lifetime li troin benefits does not apply uniformly to all simi regulations because it imp some e lif timit on essential hearth benefits.
- Example 6. (i) Facts. A group health plan limits benefits for prescription drugs to those listed on a drug for all similarly situated individuals and is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 6, the e clusion from coverage of drugs not listed on the drug for nary does not violate this paragraph (b)(2)(i) because benefits for prescription drugs listed on the for nary are uniformly available to all si lampi situated individuals and because the e clusion of drugs not listed on the formulary applies uniformly to all si harly situated individuals and is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- Example 7. (i) Facts. Under a group health plan, doctor visits are generally subject to a \$250 annual deductible and 20 percent coinsurance require Howeveneprenantal doctor visits are not subject to any deductible or coinsurance require t. These rules are applied uniformly to all si my isituated individuals and are not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 7, imposing different deductible and coinsurance requirements for prenatal doctor visits and other visits does not violate this paragraph (b)(2)(i) because a plan may establish different deductibles or coinsurance requirements for different services if the deductible or coinsurance require me nt ischaptfor mill si y theisituated individuals and is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- (ii) Exception for wellness programs. A group health plan may vary benefits, including cost-sharing mechanisms (such as a deductible, copayment, or coinsurance), based on whether an individual has the standards of a wellness program that satisfies the requirements of paragraph (f) of this section.
- (iii) Specific rule relating to source-of-injury exclusions A) If a(group health plan generally provides benefits for a type of injury, the plan ma y not dening be efits otherwise provided forther he injury if the injury results from an act of do violence or a medical condition (including both physical and tall health conditions). This rule applies in the case of an injury resulting from a mecal condition even if the condition is not diagnosed before the injury.
  - (B) The rules of this paragraph (b)(2)(iii) are illustrated by the following e amples:
- Example 1. (i) Facts. A group health plan generally provides medical/surgical benefits, including benefits for hospital stays, that are medically necessary. However, the plan e-cludes benefits for self-inflicted injuries or injuries sustained in connection with attempted suicide. Because of depression, Individual *D* atterail is the suicides that is used in the injuries. In the injuries of the self-instance of the self-instan
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Exa ntp1, the suicide attempt is the result of a medical condition (depression). Accordingly, the denial of benefits for the treatments of D's injuries violates the requirements of this paragraph (b)(2)(iii) because the plan provision e cludes benefits for treatment of an injury resulting from a medical condition.
- Example 2. (i) Facts. A group health plan provides benefits for head injuries generally. The plan also has a general e clusion for any injury sustained while participating in any of a nu r of recreational activities, including bungee ju mp e clusion has a general e clusion for any injury sustained while participating in any of a nu r of recreational activities, including bungee ju mp e clusion for any injury

unifor

larynΠ

me

injury that results from a ition (nor from do e me an discascostic viol nce). Participe t E su tai s a head injury wh I bungee ju result from a medical condition (nor from domestic violence). Accordingly, the plan denies benefits for E's head injury.

- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 2, the plan provision that denies benefits based on the source of an injury does not restrict benefits based on an act of domestic violence or any medical condition. Therefore, the provision is permissible under this paragraph (b)(2)(iii) and does not violate this section. (However, if the plan did not allow E to enroll in the plan (or applied different rules for eligibility to E) because E frequently participates in bungee ju ing, the plan womand violate paragraph (b)(1) of this section.)
- (c) Prohibited discri nation in premiums or contributions 1) In general i) A group health plan may not require an individual, as a condition of enrollment or continued enrollment under the plan, to pay a premium or contribution that is greater than the pre um or contribution for a si ed individual (describied in party activation) enrolled in the plan based on any health factor that relates to the individual or a dependent of the individual.
- (ii) Discounts, rebates, payments in kind, and any other premium differential mechanis arrestaken into account in determining an individual's premium or contribution rate. (For rules relating to cost-sharing me handsms, see paragraph (b)(2) of this section (addressing benefits).)
- (2) Rules relating to premium rates i) Gro(up rating based on health factors not restricted under this section. Nothing in this section restricts the aggregate amount that an employer may be charged for coverage under a group health plan. But see §54.9802-3T(b), which prohibits adjust nts in group pre me mi um onogenium inforatesidorased o ma
- (ii) List billing based on a health factor prohibited. However, a group health plan may not quote or charge an employer (or an individual) a different premium for an individual in a group of similarly situated individuals based on a health factor. (But see paragraph (g) of this section permitting favorable treat ividuals with adverse healthmactorsn)t of ind
  - (iii) Examples. The rules of this paragraph (c)(2) are illustrated by the following e amples:

Example 1. (i) Facts. An employer sponsors a group health plan and purchases coverage from a health insurance issuer. In order to deter mi ne the pre u mitate for the upcoming plan year, the issuer reviews the claims e perience of individuals covered under the plan. The issuer finds that Individual F had significantly higher clai e perience than si mily sittated individuals in the plan. The issuer quotes the plan a higher per-participant rate because of F's claims e perience.

(ii) Conclusion. See Exa Inter 1 in 29 CFR 2590.702(c)(2) and 45 CFR 146.121(c)(2) for a conclusion that the issuer does not violate the provisions of 29 CFR 2590.702(c)(2) and 45 CFR 146.121(c)(2) similar to the provisions of this paragraph (c)(2) because the issuer blends the rate so that the e over is not quarted alhigher rate for F than for a similarly situated individual based on F's clai erience. (However, those e ams essipe p I conclude that if the issuer used genetic infor ting the graup rate introduced violate 29 CFR 12590.702-1(b) or 45 CFR 146.122(b).)

Example 2. (i) Facts. Same facts as Example 1, e cept that the issuer quotes the e er a higher pre mp r F, llog-causenoif F's orbai rate fo e perience, than for a si traity situated individual.

- (ii) Conclusion. See Example 2 in 29 CFR 2590.702(c)(2) and 45 CFR 146.121(c)(2) for a conclusion that the issuer violates provisions of 29 CFR 2590.702(c)(2) and 45 CFR 146.121(c)(2) similar to the provisions of this paragraph (c)(2). Moreover, even if the plan purchased the policy based on the quote but did not require a higher participant contribution for F than for a si mi larly situated in sie Exa mp 02(e)(2) in a conclusion that the issuer would still violate 29 CFR 2590.702(c)(2) and 45 CFR 146.121(c)(2) (but in such a case the plan would not violate this paragraph (c)(2)).
- (3) Exception for wellness programs. Notwithstanding paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) of this section, a plan may vary the amount of premium or contribution it requires similarly situated individuals to pay based on whether an individual has met the standards of a wellness program that satisfies the requirements of paragraph (f) of this section.
- (d) Similarly situated individuals. The requirements of this section apply only within a group of individuals who are treated as sillarly situated individuals. A plan may treat participants as a group of similarly situated individuals separate from beneficiaries. In addition, participants may be treated as two or more distinct groups of sillarly situated individuals and beneficiaries may be treated as two or more distinct groups of sillarly situated individuals in accordance with the rules of this paragraph (d). Moreover, if individuals have a choice of two or more benefit packages, individuals choosing one benefit package e treated as groups of sillarly situated individuals mithous individuals mithous individuals dividuals di
- (1) Participants. Subject to paragraph (d)(3) of this section, a plan may treat participants as two or me distinct groups of arthy is ituated individuals if the distinction between or among the groups of participants is based on a bona fide employmentbased classification consistent with the e hoper's usual business practice. Whether an employment-based classification is bona fide is determined on the basis of all the relevant facts and circumstances. Relevant facts and circumstances include whether the employer uses the classification for purposes independent of qualification for health coverage (for e a nhap) deter mi eligitodity for other e b nefits or detemp ng hout/neer teer loyof mempb entt).tSparagraph (d)(3) of this me msp that, I basséctlen saiflictatione levant facts and circu section, e a be bona fide includesfull-titances, versus part-ti status, different geographic location, llective bargaining unit, date of hire, length of servicememb e vennsablis fontronvent e e samps antiboydifferentiuoccupations. However, a classification based on any health current e ed classification, unless theoreguine/ t-bas aragraph (g)note this setection are satisfied factor is not a bona fide e men (permitting favorable treatment of individuals with adverse health factors). x

- (2) Beneficiaries i)( ubject to paragraph (d)(3) of this section, a plan e i s t groups of si mi larly situated individuals if **the election** b mo ng the **groutipoisaoilels** is based on any of the following factors: x
  - (A) A bona fide e a ificaption doty the particular at third to use evaluations the beneficiary is receiving coverage;
  - (B) Relationship to the participant (for e a mp le, as a spouse or as a dependent child);
  - (C) Macal stantius;
  - (D) Wi sptecteto children of a participant, age or student status; or
  - (E) Any other factor if the factor is not a health factor.
- (ii) Paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this section does not prevent mo re favorable treat ealth factors in accordance with paragraph (g) of this section.
- (3) Discrimination directed at individuals. Notwithstanding paragraphs (d)(1) and (2) of this section, if the creation or mo ification of an employment or coverage classification is directed at individual participants or beneficiaries based on any health factor of the participants or beneficiaries, the classification is not per ttendiunder this paragraph (d), unless it is per tted under paragraph (g) of this section (permitting favorable treatment of individuals with adverse health factors). Thus, if an emp r loyefied an emo release by classification to single out, based on a health factor, individual participants and beneficiaries and deny them health coverage, the new classification would not be per treed under this section.
  - (4) Examples. The rules of this paragraph (d) are illustrated by the following e amples:
- Example 1. (i) Facts. An employer sponsors a group health plan for full-ti memployees only. Under the plan (consistent with the employer's usual business practice), employees who normally work at least 30 hours per week are considered to be working full-ti . Other employees are considered to be working part-ti ere is no reveidence to suggest that the classification is directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 1, treating the full-time and part-time e ntopyees as two separate groups of similarly situated individuals is per tted unider this paragraph (d) because the classification is bona fide and is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- Example 2. (i) Facts. Under a group health plan, coverage is made available to e ntopyees, their spouses, and their children. However, coverage is ma admitted a child only if the child is under age 26 (or under age 29 if the child is continuously enrolled full-ti immen institution of higher learning (full-ti me s) tutted the solution of higher learning (full-ti me s) tutted at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this E a lental treating spouses and children differently by imposing an age limitation on children, but not on spouses, is per tted under this paragraph (d). Specifically, the distinction between spouses and children is permitted under paragraph (d)(2) of this section and is not prohibited under paragraph (d)(3) of thisec tion because it is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries. It is also per ssible to treat children who are under age 26 (or full-time students under age 29) as a group of si ly situated individuals separate from those who are age 26 or older (or age 29 or older if they are not full-time students) because the classification is per tted under paragraph (d)(2) of this section and is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- Exa ntp3. (i) Facts. A university sponsors a group health plan that provides one health benefit package to faculty and another health benefit package to other staff. Faculty and staff are treated differently with respect to other employee benefits such as retirement benefits and leaves of absence. There is no evidence to suggest that the distinction is directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 3, the classification is permitted under this paragraph (d) because there is a distinction based on a bona fide e rhpyment-based classification consistent with the e er's usual businesspractions and the distinction is not directed at individual participants and beneficiaries.
- Exa ntp 4. (i) Facts. An employer sponsors a group health plan that is available to all current employees. Former employees may also be eligible, but only if they complete a specified number of years of service, are enrolled under the plan at the tian of the remination of employment, and are continuously enrolled from that date. There is no evidence to suggest that these distinctions are directed at individual participants or beneficiaries.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Exa lamp imposing additional eligibility requirements on for me impress is permitted because a classification that distinguishes between current and former employees is a bona fide employment-based classification that is permitted under this paragraph (d), provided that it is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries. In addition, it is permissible to distinguish between former employees who satisfy the service requirement and those who do not, provided that the distinction is not directed at individual participants or beneficiaries. (However, for me yees whome not satisfy the eligibility criteria ma y, ribeless, be eligible for continued coverage pursuant to a C Offinuation or simi r State law.)
- Exa ntep 5. (i) Facts. An employer sponsors a group health plan that provides the same more members of the employer. Si of the seven employees have the same job title and responsibilities, but Employee G has a different job title and different responsibilities. After G files an e pensive claim for benefits under the plan, coverage under the plan is i ied so that the number of loythest of bottle receive a different benefit package that includes a higher deductible than in the benefit package made available to the other si employees.
- (ii) Conclusion. Under the facts of this Example 5, changing the coverage classification for G based on the e isting e n nspification for G me is not per the dunder this paragraph (d) because the creation of the new coverage classification for G is directed at G based on one or more health factors.
- (e) Nonconfine nne and actively-at-work provisions 1) Nonconfine nne provisions i) Gerneral rule. Under the rules of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, a plan may not establish a rule for eligibility (as described in paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of this section) or set any individual's pre mi um or conteribated on whether an individual is confined to a hospital or other

health care institution. In addition, under the rules of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, a plan n li a eligibility or set any individual's premium or contribution rate based on an individual's ability to engage in normal life activities, e cept to the e tent permitted under paragraphs (e)(2)(ii) and (3) of this section (permitting plans, under certain circumstances, to distinguish among employees based on the perfor name of services).

(ii) Examples. The rules of this paragraph (e)(1) are illustrated by the following e a mp les:

Exa mp1. (i)lFacts. Under a group health plan, coverage for employees and their dependents generally beconseffective on the first day of empyment. However, coverage for a dependent who is confined to a hospital or other health care institution does not become effective until the confinement ends.

(ii) Conclusion. In this Example 1, the plan violates this paragraph (e)(1) because the plan delays the effective date of coverage for dependents based on confinement to a hospital or other health care institution.

Example 2. (i) Facts. In previous years, a group health plan has provided coverage through a group health insurance policy offered by Issuer M. However, for the current year, the plan provides coverage through a group health insurance policy offered by Issuer N. Under Issuer N's policy, items and services provided in connection with the confineme t of a dependent to a hospital or other health care institution are not covered if the confinement is covered under an elemsion of benefits clause from a previous health insurance issuer.

- (ii) Conclusion. See Example 2 in 29 CFR 2590.702(e)(1) and 45 CFR 146.121(e)(1) for a conclusion that Issuer N violates provisions of 29 CFR 2590.702(e)(1) and 45 CFR 146.121(e)(1) si t i ns of this paragraph (e)(1) because there good the peak is insurance coverage restricts benefits based on whether a dependent is confined to a hospital or other health care institution that is covered under an e tension of benefits from a previous issuer. See Exa 29 CFR/2590/E02(e)(1) and 45 CFR 146.121(e)(1) for the additional conclusions that under State law Issuer M ma also be responsible for providing benefits to such a dependent; and that in a case in which Issuer N has an obligation under 29 CFR 2590.702(e)(1) or 45 CFR 146.121(e)(1) to provide benefits and Issuer M has an obligation under State law to provide benefits, any State laws designed to prevent re than 100% reimbursement, such as State coordination-of-benefits laws, continue to apply.
- (2) Actively-at-work and continuous service provisions i) Gerderal rule—(A) Under the rules of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section and subject to the e ception for the first day of work described in paragraph (e)(2)(ii) of this section, a plan ma y not establish a rule for eligibility (as described in paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of this section) or set any individual's premi uncontribution rate based on whether an individual is actively at work (including whether an individual is continuously employed), unless absence from work due to any health factor (such as being absent from work on sick leave) is treated, for purposes of the plan, as being actively at work.
  - (B) The rules of this paragraph (e)(2)(i) are illustrated by the following e amples:

Example 1. (i) Facts. Under a group health plan, an employee generally beconseeligible to enroll 30 days after the first day of e y met n. However, if the employeeaistively at work on the first day after the end of the 30-day period, then eligibility for enrollme neilaged until the first day the employee is actively at work.

(ii) Conclusion. In this Example 1, the plan violates this paragraph (e)(2) (and thus also violates paragraph (b) of this section). However, the plan would not violate paragraph (e)(2) or (b) of this section if, under the plan, an absence due to any health factor is considered being actively at work.

Example 2. (i) Facts. Under a group health plan, coverage for an employee becomes effective after 90 days of continuous service; that is, if an employee is absent from work (for any reason) before completing 90 days of service, the beginning of the 90-day period is measured from the day the employee returns to work (without any credit for service before the absence).

- (ii) Conclusion. In this E ample 2, the plan violates this paragraph (e)(2) (and thus also paragraph (b) of this section) because the 90-day continuous service require mse a rulet for eligibility based on whether an individual is actively at work. However, the plan would not violate this paragraph (e)(2) or paragraph (b) of this section if, under the plan, an absence due to any health factor is not considered an absence for purposes of measuring 90 days of continuous service. (In addition, any eligibility provision that is time -based t co mp they withsire nts of PHS Actesection 2708 and its in they menting regulations.)
- (ii) Exception for the first day of work—(A) Notwithstanding the general rule in paragraph (e)(2)(i) of this section, a plan establish a rule for eligibility that requires an individual to begin work for the employer sponsoring the plan (or, in the case of a multie loyenplan, to begin a job in covered employment) before coverage becomes effective, provided that such a rule for eligibility applies regardless of the reason for the absence.
  - (B) The rules of this paragraph (e)(2)(ii) are illustrated by the following e amples:

Example 1. (i) Facts. Under the eligibility provision of a group health plan, coverage for new employees becomes effective on the first day that the empyee reports to work. Individual H is scheduled to begin work on August 3. However, H is unable to begin work on that day because of illness. H begins working on August 4, and H's coverage is effective on August 4.

(ii) Conclusion. In this Example 1, the plan provision does not violate this section. However, if coverage for individuals who do not report to work on the first day they were scheduled to work for a reason unrelated to a health factor (such as vacation or bereavement) becomes effective on the first day they were scheduled to work, then the plan would violate this section.

Example 2. (i) Facts. Under a group health plan, coverage for new employees becomes effective on the first day of the month following the empe'slongest day of work, regardless of whether the empetois actively at work on the first day of the eto begin work on h 24. Holweyer, Jris unable to begin work on ellness. J begins working on Wajaril 7 and 24s to coverage of si effective Ma

(ii) Conclusion. In this Example 2, the plan provision does not violate this section. However, as in Exa ntp 1, if coverage for individuals absent from work for reasons unrelated to a health factor became effective despite their absence, then the plan would violate this section.

y 1.

- (3) Relationship to plan provisions defining si i) (Notwithstanding the rules of paragraphs (e)(1) i ity on sæt an win stivhdissal's ubere for eligib In ribution rate in accordance and (2) of this section, a plan with the rules relating to si la t atted individuals in paragraph (d) of this section. Accordingly, a plan ma rules for eligibility under the plan between full-time pand t t-imp yees, between per lonent and tena ramy por seasonal employees, between current and former e <a href="https://nto.org/nto.en/blue-n longer performing services for the employer, subject to paragraph (d) of this section. However, other Federal or State laws de Atinuation provisions and the Family and Me dical Leave Ac of 1993) equire an employee or the employee's dependents to be offered coverage and set limits on the pre tribiutionumantenercen though the e mp loyee is not perfor services. mi nq
  - (ii) The rules of this paragraph (e)(3) are illustrated by the following e amples:
- Example 1. (i) Facts. Under a group health plan, employees are eligible for coverage if they perform services for the employer for 30 or more hours per week or if they are on paid leave (such as vacation, sick, or bereavement leave). Employees on unpaid leave are treated as a separate group of si larly situated individuals in accordance with the rules of paragraph (d) of this section.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Exa ntp 1, the plan provisions do not violate this section. However, if the plan treated individuals performing services for the entropyer for 30 or more hours per week, individuals on vacation leave, and individuals on bereave ntheave as a group of similarly situated individuals separate from individuals on sick leave, the plan would violate this paragraph (e) (and thus also would violate paragraph (b) of this section) because groups of si ituated indexityusals cannot be established based on a health factor (including the taking of sick leave) under paragraph (d) of this section.
- Exa ntp 2. (i) Facts. To be eligible for coverage under a bona fide collectively bargained group health plan in the current calendar quarter, the plan requires an individual to have worked 250 hours in covered e ntpyment during the three-month period that ends one month before the beginning of the current calendar quarter. The distinction between e ng at least 250 hours and those workers where the participants or beneficiaries based on any health factor of the participants or beneficiaries.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 2, the plan provision does not violate this section because, under the rules for similarly situated individuals allowing full-time employees to be treated differently than part-time entropyees, employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period can be treated differently than employees who work at least 250 hours in a three-month period 250 hours in the treated differently than employees who work at l
- Example 3. (i) Facts. Under a group health plan, coverage of an employee is ter mated when the individual's employ make is terminated, in accordance with the rules of paragraph (d) of this section. E loyenaps has been covered under the plan. B e periences a disabling illness that prevents B from working. B takes a leave of absence under the Fa mi ly aridal Leave Activate 1993. At the end of such leave, B terminates e mp logand consequently loses coverage under the plan. (This ter mi notitioverage is without regard to whatever rights the emp oyed (or memb theres of mp amloyeds) f may haven finulation.)

  OB RA co
  - (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 3, the plan provision terminating B's coverage upon B's termination of employment does not violate this section.
- Example 4. (i) Facts. Under a group health plan, coverage of an employee is terminated when the employee ceases to perform services for the employer sponsoring the plan, in accordance with the rules of paragraph (d) of this section. Employee C is laid off for three months. When the layoff begins, C's coverage under the plan is terminated. (This termination of coverage is without regard to whatever rights the employee (or members of the employee's family) may have for COBRA continuation coverage.)
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Exa ntep 4, the plan provision terminating C's coverage upon the cessation of C's perfor nee of services does not violate this section.
- (f) Nondiscri **m**atory wellness programs n <u>geineral</u>. A wellness program is a program of health promotion or disease prevention. Paragraphs (b)(2)(ii) and (c)(3) of this section provide e ceptions to the general prohibitions against discrimi nation based on a health factor for plan provisions that vary benefits (including cost-sharing mechanisms) or the premium or contribution for si **ta**rly situated individuals in connection with a wellness program that satisfies the requirements of this paragraph (f). x
  - (1) Definitions. The definitions in this paragraph (f)(1) govern in applying the provisions of this paragraph (f).
- (i) Reward. E cept where e pressly provided otherwise, references in this section to an individual obtaining a reward include both obtaining a reward (such as a discount or rebate of a premium or contribution, a waiver of all or part of a cost- x sharing mechanism, an additional benefit, or any financial or other incentive) and avoiding a penalty (such as the absence of a pre mi argenoxuodher financial or nonfinancial disincentive). References in this section to a plan providing a reward include both providing a reward (such as a discount or rebate of a premium or contribution, a waiver of all or part of a cost-sharing mechanism, an additional benefit, or any financial or other incentive) and i ning a penalty (such as a surcharge or other financial or nonfinancial disincentive).
- (ii) Participatory wellness programs. If none of the conditions for obtaining a reward under a wellness program is based on an individual satisfying a standard that is related to a health factor (or if a wellness program does not provide a reward), the wellness program is a participatory wellness program a m. Et ipratory wellness programs e: ar
  - (A) A program that reimb urses e r all ompart of lthree estb for memb ership in a fitness center.
- (B) A diagnostic testing program that provides a reward for participation in that program and does not base any part of the reward on outcomes.

mi

- (C) A program that encourages preventive care through the waiver of the copay n group health plan for the costs of, for e a ntep prenatal care or well baby visits. (Note that, with respect to non grandfathered plans, §54.9815-2713T requires benefits for certain preventive health services without the imposition of cost sharing.)
- (D) A program that rei numbses employees for the costs of participating, or that otherwise provides a reward for participating, in a s mo g celsisation program without regard to whether the employee quits s niting.
  - (E) A program that provides a reward to employees for attending a smo thnthly, ano-no thealr. educ tio se
- (F) A program that provides a reward to employees who complete a health risk assess namegarding current health status, without any further action (educational or otherwise) required by the employee with regard to the health issues identified as part of the assessment. (See also §54.9802-3T for rules prohibiting collection of genetic information.)
- (iii) Health-contingent wellness programs. A health-contingent wellness program is a program that requires an individual to satisfy a standard related to a health factor to obtain a reward (or requires an individual to undertake more than a similarly situated individual based on a health factor in order to obtain the same reward). A health-contingent wellness program may be an activity-only wellness program or an outco

  | Iness program. | me | -based we
- (iv) Activity-only wellness program man activity-only wellness program is a type of health-contingent wellness program that requires an individual to perform or complete an activity related to a health factor in order to obtain a reward but does not require the individual to attain or maintain a specific health outcome. E amples include walking, diet, or e ercise programs which so ndividualsmea i yabe unatable to prorti ipnep texte (titifibulty participating in or co etimpg) dule to a health factor, such as severe asthma, pregnancy, or a recent surgery. See paragraph (f)(3) of this section for requirements in applicable to activity-only wellness programs.
- (v) Outcome-based wellness programs. An outco htmess program visea type of health-contingent wellness е program that requires an individual to attain or ana ecifioctaiaealthsoputco me attair(inuncbeartairot s S s n results on biometric see nings) in order to obtain a reward. To co t t r ms of this wahadmaphilef), an outcome-based wellness program typically has two tiers. That is, for individuals who do not attain or an thenspecifiothealth outcome, th ance whicational program or an activity ry be offe ed assatrivelte achieve the sa eneard. Trhiss СО alternative pathway, however, does not at the overall progra m, cmevisi anahoeutco -basedecto, is not an me mp me d weblansess progra outco atismifa Ths eme a urr me suste, dtest parts of exeminitial standard and individuals who mee h t tamestard are granted the reward, the program is considered an outco liness programen. -basrd dbndss wrogram tests individuals for specified mæl conditions or risk factors (including bio ic screeningtr such as testing for high cholesterol, high blood pressure, abnor ss indhea, or hilphobylucose level) maad provides a reward to individuals identified as within a normal or healthy range for these medical conditions or risk factors, while requiring individuals who are identified as outside the normal or healthy range (or at risk) to take additional steps (such as me n eti g with a health coach, taking a health or fitness course, adhering to a health i r ement actiomplan, cov h a walking or e ercise program, or clying with ampealth care provider's plan of care) to obtain the sa me reward, the prosparm aragraph (f)(3) efpthis section for require sed wellnesme outco d worediness-bywasegra applimeble tontsutco progra ms
- (2) Requirement for participatory wellness programs. A participatory wellness program, as described in paragraph (f)(1)(ii) of this section, does not violate the provisions of this section only if participation in the program is made available to all similarly situated individuals, regardless of health status.
- (3) Requirements for activity-only wellness programs. A health-contingent wellness program that is an activity-only wellness program, as described in paragraph (f)(1)(iv) of this section, does not violate the provisions of this section only if all of the following require me e nts are satisfied:
- (i) Frequency of opportunity to qualify. The program must give individuals eligible for the program the opportunity to qualify for the reward under the program at least once per year.
- (ii) Size of reward. The reward for the activity-only wellness program, together with the reward for other health-contingent wellness progra ms swittectetopthe plan, t not e ceed the applimable percentage (as defined in paragraph (f)(5) of this section) of the total cost of e ly coverage undervibe-plan. However, if, in addition to e ye amp skosoefs. nv cla dependents (such as spouses, or spouses and dependent children) ma rtiograme in the wellness program, r**thve**ard st mu not e ceed the applicable percentage of the total cost of the coverage in which an emp eoyed any dependents are enrolled. For purposes of this paragraph (f)(3)(ii), the cost of coverage is deter ned based on the total a mo mp ee longt coomsotutwards the cost of coverage for the benefit package under which the e mpyee isto(or the e mp loyee and any dependents are) receiving coverage.
- (iii) Reasonable design. The program must be reasonably designed to pro e t e ase. A program must be reasonable this standard if it has a reasonable chance of i mp realthgothæhpreventing disease in, participating individuals, x

(2) The time co mmi

and it is not overly burdenso t is mi n me , is not a sub terfuge for d crit mati g based method chosen to promote health or prevent disease. This determination is based on all the relevant facts and circumstances.

- (iv) *Uniform availability and reasonable alternative standards*. The full reward under the activity-only wellness program must be available to all similarly situated individuals.
- (A) Under this paragraph (f)(3)(iv), a reward under an activity-only wellness program is not available to all similarly situated individuals for a period unless the program meets both of the following requirements:
- (1) The program allows a reasonable alternative standard (or waiver of the otherwise applicable standard) for obtaining the reward for any individual for whom, for that period, it is unreasonably difficult due to a medical condition to satisfy the otherwise applicable standard; and
- (2) The program allows a reasonable alternative standard (or waiver of the otherwise applicable standard) for obtaining the reward for any individual for whom, for that period, it is medically inadvisable to attempt to satisfy the otherwise applicable standard.
- (B) While plans and issuers are not required to determi e amarticular reasonable alternative standard in advance of an individual's request for one, if an individual is described in either paragraph (f)(3)(iv)(A)(1) or (2) of this section, a reasonable alternative standard must be furnished by the plan or issuer upon the individual's request or the condition for obtaining the reward must be waived.
- (C) All the facts and circu reasonable alternative standard, including but not limited to the following:

t mende munit requstibe reasonable (for e a

- (1) If the reasonable alternative standard is completion of an educational program, the plan or issuer must make the educational program available or assist the employee in finding such a program (instead of requiring an individual to find such a program unassisted), and ma re y not individual to pay for the cost of the program.
- be unreasonable).
- (3) If the reasonable alternative standard is a diet progra ssuer is not required to the applicant of the past any membership or participation fee.
- (4) If an individual's personal physician states that a plan standard (including, if applicable, the recommendations of the plan's medical professional) is not medically appropriate for that individual, the plan or issuer must provide a reasonable alternative standard that accommodates the recommendations of the individual's personal physician with regard to ndical appropriateness. Plans and issuers may impose standard cost sharing under the plan or coverage for ndical items and services furnished pursuant to the physician's recommendations.
- (D) To the e tent that a reasonable alternative standard under an activity-only wellness program is, itself, an activity-only wellness program, it must comply with the requirements of this paragraph (f)(3) in the same manner as if it were an initial program standard. (Thus, for e ample, if a plan or issuer provides a walking program as a reasonable alternative standard to a running program, individuals for whom it is unreasonably difficult due to a a me diatelthendiallaimtopcrogram (or for whom it is medically inadvisable to atte t mp mp to coe lete th walking program be provided a reasonable alternative standard to the walking program.) To the e tent that a reasonable alternative standard under an activity-only wellness program is, itself, an outcome-based wellness program, it must comply with the requirements of paragraph (f)(4) of this section, including paragraph (f)(4)(iv)(D).
- (E) If reasonable under the circumstances, a plan or issuer may seek verification, such as a statement from an individual's personal physician, that a health factor nkes it unreasonably difficult for the individual to satisfy, or medically inadvisable for the individual to atte t the atisfy, the otherwise applicable standard of an activity-only wellness progra I and issue sans a y seek invention with respect to requests for a reasonable alternative standard for which it is reasonable to deter e that mi me caldidgment is required to evaluate the validity of the request.
- (v) Notice of availability of reasonable alternative standard. The plan or issuer mult planst discrizes dieseribing the ter activity-only wifetiness program the availability of a reasonable alternative standard to qualify for the reward (and, if applicable, the possibility of waiver of the otherwise applicable standard), including contact infor i r t ining a ma reasonable alternative standard and a statement that reco a mmse an immodivitional softensial physician will be acco atendinfoplan d Is me metrical network according to the testing and a statement that recording its terms this, disclosure is not required. Sample language is provided in paragraph (f)(6) of this section, as well as in certain e a essipt this section.
  - (vi) Example. The provisions of this paragraph (f)(3) are illustrated by the following e ample:

ttendance nightly at a one-hour class pwoulde, requi

Example. (i) Facts. A group health plan provides a reward to individuals who participate in a reasonable specified walking program. If it is unreasonably difficult due to a medical condition for an individual to participate (or if it is medically inadvisable for an individual to attempt to participate), the plan will waive the walking program requirement and provide the reward. All materials describing the terms of the walking program disclose the availability of the waiver.

- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example, the program satisfies the requirements of paragraph (f)(3)(iii) of this section because the walking program is reasonably designed to promote health and prevent disease. The program satisfies the requirements of paragraph (f)(3)(iv) of this section because the reward under the program is available to all similarly situated individuals. It accommodates individuals for whom it is unreasonably difficult to participate in the walking program due to a i ion (ornier whomical vocund be i religionable to attemp to tidipipate) by providing them with the reward even if they do not participate in the walking program (that is, by waiving the condition). The plan also co ies with the disclosurence quire metof paragraph (f)(3)(v) of this section.
- (4) Requirements for outcome-based wellness programs. A health-contingent wellness program that is an outco a me wellness program, as described in paragraph (f)(1)(v) of this section, does not violate the provisions of this section only if all of the following require s are satisfiednt
- (i) Frequency of opportunity to qualify. The program must give individuals eligible for the program the opportunity to qualify for the reward under the program at least once per year.
- (ii) Size of reward. The reward for the outcome-based wellness progra intogether with the reward for other health-contingent wellness programs with respect to the plan, must not e ceed the applicable percentage (as defined in paragraph (f) (5) of this section) of the total cost of e in the plan between the plan. However, if, in addition to empirical edges of dependents (such as spouses, or spouses and dependent children) in a contract of the plan between the pl
- (iii) Reasonable design. The progra mostube reasonably designed to promote health or prevent disease. A program satisfies this standard if it has a reasonable chance of impring the health of, or preventing disease in, participating individuals, and it is not overly burdenso a subterfuge for disscriminating thased on a health factor, and is not highly suspect in the methodsen to prombe health or prevent disease. This termination is based on all the relevant facts and circuit and the relevant facts and circuit tanders. To ensure that an outcoid be methods passgrawing reasonably designed to inverse to ensure the reducing benefits based on a health factor, a reasonable alternative standard to qualify for the reward standard to any individual who does not initial standard based of the screening that is relations to a health factor, as e plained in paragraph (f)(4)(iv) of this section.
- (iv) *Uniform availability and reasonable alternative standards.* The full reward under the outco Iness program bestvailable to all similarly situated individuals.
- (A) Under this paragraph (f)(4)(iv), a reward under an outcome-based wellness program is not available to all si taily situated individuals for a period unless the program allows a reasonable alternative standard (or waiver of the otherwise applicable standard) for obtaining the reward for any individual who does not et the initial standard based on the measurement, test, or screening, as described in this paragraph (f)(4)(iv).
- (B) While plans and issuers are not required to determine a particular reasonable alternative standard in advance of an individual's request for one, if an individual is described in paragraph (f)(4)(iv)(A) of this section, a reasonable alternative standard be furnished by the tplan or issuer upon the individual's request or the condition for obtaining the reward mu waived.
- (C) All the facts and circu reasonable alternative standard, including but not limited to the following:
- (1) If the reasonable alternative standard is completion of an educational program, the plan or issuer must make the educational program available or assist the employee in finding such a program (instead of requiring an individual to find such a program unassisted), and mare y not individual to pay for the cost of the program.
- (2) The time commit not required must be reasonable (for e a nine), requiring attendance nightly at a one-hour class would be unreasonable).
- (3) If the reasonable alternative standard is a diet program, the plan or issuer is not required to pay for the cost of food but mu stanay y s r partieipation fee. er hip o
- (4) If an individual's personal physician states that a plan standard (including, if applicable, the recommendations of the plan's medical professional) is not medically appropriate for that individual, the plan or issuer must provide a reasonable alternative standard that accommo dates the reps of the individual's personal physician withat accommo dical

appropriateness. Plans and issuers i e s ma y mp os **s**tandard cost har services furnished pursuant to the physician's reco **mdæt**ions.

- (D) To the e tent that a reasonable alternative standard under an outcome-based wellness program is, itself, an activity-only wellness program, it must comply with the require mess of paragraph (f)(3) of this section in the same manner as if it were an initial program standard. To the e tent that a reasonable alternative standard under an outco a llness program is, itself, another outcome-based wellness program, it must comply with the require mess of this paragraph (f)(4), subject to the following special rules:
- (1) The reasonable alternative standard cannot be a require none to meet a different level of the same standard without additional ti tone omply that takes into account the individual's circu ntances. For e a ntance, if the initial standard is to achieve a BMI less than 30, the reasonable alternative standard cannot be to achieve a BMI less than 31 on that same date. However, if the initial standard is to achieve a B ss than 310, a reasonable alternative standard for the individual could be to reduce the individual's BMI by a s II ma all presocentages, conver a realistic perisod of ti such as within a without
- (2) An individual must be given the opportunity to comply with the recommendations of the individual's personal physician as a second reasonable alternative standard to meeting the reasonable alternative standard defined by the plan or issuer, but only if the physician joins in the request. The individual can make a request to involve a personal physician's recommendations at any time and the personal physician can adjust the physician's recommendations at any time onsistent with medical appropriateness.
- (E) It is not reasonable to seek verification, such as a state metrom an individual's personal physician, under an outcomebased wellness program that a health factor makes it unreasonably difficult for the individual to satisfy, or medically inadvisable for the individual to atte mpo sattsfy, the otherwise applicable standard as a condition of providing a reasonable alternative to the initial standard. However, if a plan or issuer provides an alternative standard to the otherwise applicable measureme or screening that involves an activity that is related to a health factor, then the rules of paragraph (f)(3) of this section for mpthe welleretssfprogram and the plan or issuer activity-only wellness programs apply to that co n ances, seek ivation that it is unreasonably difficult due to a atheonditiotic for an individual to perform under the circumst ically nineadvisoable to attemper enforcing or co or co enterothe alctivity (or it is activity). (Formuse a lette, then mp d wreetness baseram requires participants to outco n i sai nde ercissea intai t thentorgissedenweight, a pla inimation y assed les ceribled in paragraph program for individuals who do not ar et me (f)(3)(iv)(D) of thiss eight, if re asonable under the circu e ms ataecosdtheatsonable alternative standard is needed for certain individuals because, for those individuals, it would be unreasonably difficult due to a medical condition to comply, or me ically inadvisable to atte ntito co mp ly, with theercolisest parocolinea ue to a mendical coolndition.)
- (v) Notice of availability of reasonable alternative standard. The plan or issuer must disclose in all plan materials describing the ter a mustice of n methods-topase-top
  - (vi) Examples. The rules of this paragraph (f)(4) are illustrated by the following e amples:

Example 1—Cholesterol screening with reasonable alternative standard to work with personal physician. (i) Facts. A group health plan offers a reward to participants who achieve a count under 200 on a total cholesterol test. If a participant does not achieve the targeted cholesterol count, the plan allows the participant to develop an alternative cholesterol action plan in conjunction with the participant's personal physician that adminimized admini schifychareds tas medically necessary, over the e ession, requires surgermand comvelles rence, or so year. (For eampeil, fatipiapant develops asth medical conditionneor consideration may es dro letion pof the original action plan inadvisable or unreasonably difficult, the physician roynalmanoction plifa,nth,nAlbii plan ma erials describing the ter ms proofratine include the following statemen t: "You Ithealan wants to help you take charge of your health." Rewards are available to all emp y tes who participate in our Cholesterol Awareness Wellness Progra m. h He your totaling olumber o 12000, t is Wework with you and your doctor to find a Health you will receive the reward. If not, you will sti have an opportunity to qualify for the reward. S mta rogramm that is right for you." In addition, when any individual participant receives notification that his or her cholesterol count is 200 or higher, the notification includes the following state ntmerour plan offers a Health S rtmpagram under which we will work with you and your doctor to try to lower your cholesterol. If you complete this program, you will qualify for a reward. Please contact us at [contact infor ntien] to get started.

(ii) Conclusion. In this Example 1, the program is an outcome-based wellness program because the initial standard requires an individual to attain or interior interior interior interior interior a specific health outco ertain choleraterol legated) to obtain a reward. The program satis ies the require mes draggraph (f)(4)(iii) of this section because the cholesterol program is eas they begin to protect the paragraph (f)(4)(iv) of this section because it may example to pure to be east the paragraph (f)(4)(iv) of this section because it may example the paragraph (f)(4)(iv) of this section because it may example to program satisfies the require to the paragraph (f)(4)(iv) of the reward. Lastly, the plan also discloses in all may as the standard to qualify for the reward. Lastly, the plan also discloses in all may as the standard the program and in any disclosure that an individual did not satisfy the initial outcome-based standard the availability of a reasonable alternative standard (including contact infor ntion and the individual's ability to involve his or her personal physician), as required by paragraph (f)(4)(v) of this section. Thus, the program satisfies the require me nts of palasgraph (iii), (iv), and (v) of this section.

Example 2—Cholesterol screening with plan alternative and no opportunity for personal physician involvement. (i) Facts. Sa material samples as Exa 1, e cept that the wellness program's physician or nurse practitioner (rather than the individual's personal physician) determines the alternative

пр

cholesterol action plan. The plan does not provide an opportunity for a participant's personal physician to appropriate for that individual. x

(ii) Conclusion. In this Example 2, the wellness program does not satisfy the requirements of paragraph (f)(4)(iii) of this section because the program does not accommodate the recommendations of the participant's personal physician with regard to medical appropriateness, as required under paragraph (f)(4)(iv)(C)(3) of this section. Thus, the program is not reasonably designed under paragraph (f)(4)(iii) of this section and is not available to all si mis larly ituadadaladiader paragraph (f)(4)(iv) of this section. The notice also does not provide all the content required under paragraph (f)(4)(v) of this section.

Example 3—Cholesterol screening with plan alternative that can be modified by personal physician. (i) Facts. Same facts as Exa ntp 2, e cept that if a participant's personal physician disagrees with any part of the action plan, the personal physician may modify the action plan at any time, and the plan discloses this to participants.

(ii) Conclusion. In this Example 3, the wellness program satisfies the requirements of paragraph (f)(4)(iii) of this section because the participant's personal physician may modify the action plan determined by the wellness program's physician or nurse practitioner at any time if the physician states that the recommendations are not ically appropriate, as dequired under paragraph (f)(4)(iv)(C)(3) of this section. Thus, the program is reasonably designed under paragraph (f)(4)(iii) of this section and is available to all simplified individuals under paragraph (f)(4)(iv) of this section. The notice, which includes a statement that recondations of an individual's personal physician will be accondations at the participant's personal physician will be accondations at the participant's personal physician or nurse practitions at any time if the physician states that the recommendations are not ically appropriate, as dequired under paragraph (f)(4)(iv)(C)(3) of this section. Thus, the program is reasonably designed under paragraph (f)(4)(iv) of this section. The notice, which includes a statement that recondations are not ically appropriate, as dequired under paragraph (f)(4)(iv)(C)(3) of this section. Thus, the program is reasonably designed under paragraph (f)(4)(iv) of this section. The notice, which includes a statement that recondations are not ically appropriate, as dequired under paragraph (f)(4)(iv)(C)(3) of this section.

some in with walking program alternative. (i) Facts. A group health plan will provide a reward to participants who have a body mass inde (BMI) that is 26 or lower, determined shortly before the beginning of the year. Any participant who does not meet the target BMI is given codient if the participant co mp lies with altkingeric 19e programa threatenio Assytpacersival pant for whom it is unreasonably difficult due to a cal condition to come i dhis walking program (and amth participant for whom it is medically inadvisable to attempt to comply with the walking program) during the year is given the same discount if the participant satisfies an alternative standard that is reasonable taking into consideration the participant's medical situation, is not unreasonably burdenso mer impractical to comply with, and is otherwise reasonably designed based on all the relevant facts and circu mæncest All plan ma rake describing the terms the fwellness program include the following statement: "Fitness is Easy! Start Walking! Your health plan cares about your health. If you are considered overweight because you have a ing program Wilahelplkou lose weight and feel better. ing program Wilahelplyou lose weight and feel better. Il helle you emiroll. (\* \*If your doctor says that walking isn't Weill work with you (and, if you wish, your own doctor) to develop a wellness program that is.)" Participant E is unable MI ve of 60, our 2Start right for you, that's okay too. to achieve a B MIa is the to 126 or with the plan's timefra i es notification that co aid rece v rangeaph (f)(4)(wi)hopthis section. n Nevertheless, it is unreasonably difficult due to a medical condition for *E* to co i the walkiming prooflywaw the roposes a program bransed on Ethoe mnætions of nads physician. The plan agrees to dtsaooailable to E that is available to other participants in the B MI ma ksea thmenei **ppr**ogra or the alternative walking program, but only if *E* actually follows the physician's reco mdætions.

(ii) Conclusion. In this Example 4, the program is an outcome-based wellness program because the initial standard requires an individual to attain or maintain a specific health outco rtain B el Milmetainte a (na) womandb. The program satisfies the require section because it is reasonably designed to pro ealth and prevent disease. The program alstestatisfies the require n ph (f)(4)(and of this section because it makes available to all individuals who do not satisfy the B Metandard a reasonable alternative standard to qualify for the ph(f)(4)(iv)reward (in this case, a walking program that is not unreasonably burdensome or impractical for individuals to comply with and that is otherwise reasonably designed based on all the relevant facts and circu nces). In additions the wealking program is, itself, an activity-only standard and the plan complies with the requirements of paragraph (f)(3) of this section (including the requirement of paragraph (f)(3)(iv) that, if there are individuals for whom it is unreasonably difficult due to a medical condition to comply, or for whom it is medically inadvisable to attempt to comply, with the walking e planmorovidetha reasonable alternative to those individuals). the plan satisfies Wilhow requeicos er, me nts of paragh)(np)ho(ff)(his of thrap and in any disclosure that an individual did not satisfy the initial section because it discloses, in all e als desomibbing theriter ms outcome-based standard, the availability of a reasonable alternative standard (including contact informat n and the individual's option to involve his or her personal physician) to qualify for the reward or the possibility of waiver of the otherwise applicable standard. Thus, the program satisfies the requirements of paragraphs (f)(4)(iii), (iv), and (v) of this section.

Example 5—B softening with alternatives available to either lower B MI or me et personal physiciaas's meetot fac s as Example 4 e cept that, with respect to any participant who does not a a a t icipant is e pected to meeting one point. Mit any point during the year upon request, any individual can obtain a second reasonable alternative standard, which is composition in the participant's personal physician regarding weight, diet, and e ercise as set forth in a treatment plan that the physician recommendation is per time and the option of following the participant's personal physician's recommendations is clearly disclosed.

(ii) Conclusion. In this Example 5, the reasonable alternative standard to qualify for the reward (the alternative BMI standard requiring a one-point reduction) does not make the program unreasonable under paragraph (f)(4)(iii) or (iv) of this section because the program complies with paragraph (f) (4)(iv)(C)(4) of this section by allowing a second reasonable alternative standard to qualify for the reward (co the three completes in the participant's personal physician, which can be changed or adjusted at any ti coordingly, the program continues in the applicable require meet paragraph (f) of this section.

Example 6—Tobacco use surcharge with smoking cessation program alternative. (i) Facts. In conjunction with an annual open enrollment period, a group health plan provides a premium differential based on tobacco use, determined using a health risk assessment. The following statement is msa eschebria by the tobacco pre are a smokely ewe offer nals lpth Lifn opou k included in all plan ummad ffenteoptisl: "S mo a king tod yel mi i cessation program. a mpu anlabe the progr e." The plan accom, atespopacticipands and should be somether by facilitating their Issatice program that requires participation at a ti nd phase that are not unreasonably burdenso mer enrollment asin mon octical fomo participants, and that is otherwise reasonably designed based on all the relevant facts and circu mnses, attad discloses contact infor individual's option to involve his or her personal physician. The plan pays for the cost of participation in the smo ingleessation progra m.ny patticipant ing, but the plan can requine regassibef whether the participant stops s can avoid the surcharge for the plan year by participating in the program, a participant who wants to avoid the surcharge in a subsequent year to co lete tessation program agaimo

(ii) Conclusion. In this Example 6, the premium differential satisfies the require ntset paragraphs (f)(4)(iii), (iv), and (v). The program is an outcome-based wellness program because the initial standard for obtaining a reward is dependent on the results of a health risk assessment (a me result, test, or screening). The program is reasonably designed under paragraph (f)(4)(iii) because the plan provides a reasonable alternative standard (as required under paragraph (f)(4)(iv) of this section) to qualify for the reward to all tobacco users (as moc stating program). The plan discloses, in all ma erials describing the ter enprogram of the availant; ility of the reasonable alternative standard (including contact infor ma antion the individual's option to involve his or her personal physician). Thus, the program satisfies the require mes of patragraphs (f)(4)(iii), (iv), and (v) of this section.

Example 7—Tobacco use surcharge with alternative program requiring actual cessation. (i) Facts. Same facts as Example 6, e cept the plan does x not provide participant F with the reward in subsequent years unless F actually stops smoking after participating in the tobacco cessation program.

lly

(ii) Conclusion. In this Example 7, the program is not reasonably designed under paragraph (f)(4)(iii) of this section and does not provide a reasonable alternative standard as required under paragraph (f)(4)(iv) of this section. The plan cannot cease to provide a reasonable alternative standard merely because the participant did not stop smoking after participating in a smoking cessation program. The plan must continue to offer a reasonable alternative standard whether it is the same or different (such as a new recommendation from F's personal physician or a new nicotine replacement therapy).

Example 8—Tobacco use surcharge with smoking cessation program alternative that is not reasonable. (i) Facts. Same facts as Example 6, e cept the plan does not facilitate participant F's enrollment in a smoking cessation program. Instead the plan advises F to find a program, pay for it, and provide a certificate of co mp tl tiom o th plan.

- (ii) Conclusion. In this Examp 8, Note requirement for F to find and pay for F's own smoking cessation program means that the alternative program is not reasonable. Accordingly, the plan has not offered a reasonable alternative standard that complies with paragraphs (f)(4)(iii) and (iv) of this section and the program fails to satisfy the require to form the program fails to sa
- (5) Applicable percentage i) For (purposes of this paragraph (f), the applicable percentage is 30 percent, e cept that the applicable percentage is increased by an additional 20 percentage points (to 50 percent) to the e tent that the additional percentage is in connection with a program designed to prevent or reduce tobacco use.
  - (ii) The provisions of this paragraph (f)(5) are illustrated by the following e amples:
- Example 1. (i) Facts. An employer sponsors a group health plan. The annual premium for employee-only coverage is \$6,000 (of which the employee pays \$1,500 per year). The plan offers employees a health-contingent wellness program with several connents, focuse the program of ercise, blood sugar, weight, cholesterol, and blood pressure. The reward for connection of eight and plie eight an
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Exa e reward for the wellness prograf, th m, \$600, deexplixed lequel dentage of 30 percent of the total annual cost of employee-only coverage, \$1,800. (\$6,000 × 30% = \$1,800.)
- Example 2. (i) Facts. Same facts as Example 1, e cept the wellness program is e clusively a tobacco prevention progra s who have used tobacco in the last 12 and who aremot enrolled in the plan's tobacco cessation program are charged a \$1,000 pre harge (in addition to their employee contribution towards the coverage). (Those who participate in the plan's tobacco cessation program are not assessed the \$1,000 surcharge.)
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Exa the reward for the well the 2s program (absence of a \$1,000 surcharge), does not e ceed the applicable percentage of 50 percent of the total annual cost of employee-only coverage, \$3,000. ( $$6,000 \times 50\% = $3,000$ .)
- Example 3. (i) Facts. Same facts as Example 1, e cept that, in addition to the \$600 reward for compliance with the health-contingent wellness program, the plan also imposes an additional \$2,000 tobacco premium surcharge on employees who have used tobacco in the last 12 months and who are not enrolled in the plan's tobacco cessation programe e who participatenin the (Tlan's tobacco cessation program are not assessed the \$2,000 surcharge.)
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 3, the total of all rewards (including absence of a surcharge for participating in the tobacco program) is \$2,600 (\$600 + \$2,000 = \$2,600), which does not e ceed the applicable percentage of 50 percent of the total annual cost of employee-only coverage (\$3,000); and, tested separately, the \$600 reward for the wellness program unrelated to tobacco use does not e ceed the applicable percentage of 30 percent of the total annual cost of e mp loyeeagely\$to\$00).
- Exa lamp (i) Facts. An employer sponsors a group health plan. The total annual premium for employee-only coverage (including both employer and employee contributions towards the coverage) is \$5,000. The plan provides a \$250 reward to employees who complete a health risk assessment, without regard to the health issues identified as part of the assessment. The plan also offers a Healthy Heart progra is a health-contingent wellness program, with an opportunity to earn a \$1,500 reward.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 4, even though the total reward for all wellness progra mander the plan is \$1,750 (\$250 + \$1,500 = \$1,750, which e ceeds the applicable percentage of 30 percent of the cost of the annual premium for employee-only coverage (\$5,000 × 30% = \$1,500)), only the reward offered for compliance with the health-contingent wellness program (\$1,500) is taken into account in determining whether the rules of this paragraph (f)(5) are me te(\$250 reward is offered in connection with a participatory wellness program and therefore is not taken into account.)

  Accordingly, the health-contingent wellness program offers a reward that does not e ceed the applicable percentage of 30 percent of the total annual cost of e yee-only comparagelo
- (6) Sample language. The following language, or substantially similar language, can be used to satisfy the notice requirement of paragraphs (f)(3)(v) or (f)(4)(v) of this section: "Your health plan is committed to helping you achieve your best health. Rewards for participating in a wellness program are available to all e mp loyees.thinks you mable toght be u a standard for a reward under this wellness program, you ualify for any loop portunity to earn the sa d by edifferent war means. Contact us at [insert contact infor and we will work with you (and, if you wisla, with you to find a wellness program with the same reward that is right for you in light of your health status."
- (g) Me favorable treat note of individuals with adverse health factors permitted—(1) In rules for eligibility. (i) Nothing in this section prevents a group health plan from establishing more favorable rules for eligibility (described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section) for individuals with an adverse health factor, such as disability, than for individuals without the adverse health factor. er, nothing in this essection prevents a plan from charging a higher pre mint ibution with respect to individuals with an adverse health factor if they would not be eligible for the coverage were it not for the adverse health factor. (However, other laws, including State insurance laws, ether li ynisre this um rates; they are not affected by this section.)
  - (ii) The rules of this paragraph (g)(1) are illustrated by the following e a mp les: x

mi

m.

- Example 1. (i) Facts. An employer sponsors a group health plan that generally is available to employees, spouses of employees, and dependent children until age 26. However, dependent children who are disabled are eligible for coverage beyond age 26.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this E ample 1, the plan provision allowing coverage for disabled dependent children beyond age 26 satisfies this paragraph (g) (1) (and thus does not violate this section).
- Example 2. (i) Facts. An employer sponsors a group health plan, which is generally available to employees (and members of the employee's fa ly)nointil the last day of the month in which the employee ceases to perform services for the employer. The plan generally charges employees \$50 per nth foo employee-only coverage and \$125 per month for family coverage. However, an employee who ceases to perform services for the employer by reason of disability nyaemain covered under the plan until the last day of the month that is 12 months after the month in which the employee ceased to perform services for the employer. During this e tended period of coverage, the plan charges the employee \$100 per month for employee-only coverage and \$250 per month for family coverage. (This e tended period of coverage is without regard to whatever rights the employee (or members of the employee's family) may have for COBRA continuation coverage.)
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 2, the plan provision allowing e tended coverage for disabled employees and their fa the satisfies this paragraph (g)(1) (and thus does not violate this section). In addition, the plan is permitted, under this paragraph (g)(1), to charge the disabled employees a higher pre um during the e tended period of coverage.
- Exa ntap 3. (i) Facts. To comply with the require ntnaeofa C n OB RA co timuation provisticonan geometralleya me OB k RAC continuation coverage available for a nerotion withha itercon attrormof e loy pentmbeut mpmi mensoverlage throailable hs oc rtaon disiabl d ndividuals and erta n mmap i iermondo of 29nt t meo i an generally in mon covnetnes goef, the plan requires Or Byme RA color of 1658 tio requires payment of 102 percent of the applicable premium r the i fo frst 18 continual on continual on continual on continual or conti endisanted individual's C percent of the applicable pre mi would not be entitled to C tionBationRoaveenage but for the disability.
- (ii) Conclusion. In this Example 3, the plan provision allowing e tended C ORBA continuation coverage for disabled individuals satisfies this paragraph (g)(1) (and thus does not violate this section). In addition, the plan is permitted, under this paragraph (g)(1), to charge the disabled individuals a higher pre r e temded coverage timethe individuals would not be eligible for C OB RA con inu tion geverere it not for the disability. (Similarly, if the plan provided an e tended period of coverage for disabled individuals pursuant to State law or plan provision rather than pursuant to a C OB RA continuation ceverages; on, the plan could likewise charge the disabled individuals a higher pre tendendi coverage.)
- (2) In premiu ms or contributions—(i)iNothing in this section prevents a group ane althropic harging individuals a pre mi intribration that is less than the pre individuals if the lower rolliar geuiss (or contribution an adverse health factor, such as disability.

um for the

mi

(ii) The rules of this paragraph (g)(2) are illustrated by the following e ample:

Example. (i) Facts. Under a group health plan, employees are generally required to pay \$50 per month for employee-only coverage and \$125 per month for family coverage under the plan. However, employees who are disabled receive coverage (whether employee-only or family coverage) under the plan free of charge.

- (ii) Conclusion. In this Exa mp le, the plan provision waiving pre e es is per tted under **thriis** paragraph (g)(2) (a**nd** um puthus does not violate this section).
- (h) No effect on other laws. Co ntipnce with this section is not determinative of co ntipnce with any provision of ERISA (including the COBRA continuation provisions) or any other State or Federal law, such as the Americans with Disabilities Act. Therefore, although the rules of this section would not prohibit a plan from treating one group of si arly situated individuals differently from another (such as providing different benefit packages to current and for decree s)enoth deFreior State emp laws y requine that two separate groups of si teant by instripment preads differently instripment preads differently instripment preads and instributed as the second contraction of the second co ua e treated the sa mi ktregsame benefit package available to C ualified Boene Reagies as is e available to active emp oyees). In addition, although this section generally does not i s mp o e new disations are no bliggs, this section does not affect any other laws, including those that require accurate disclosures and prohibit intentional sentation. x srepre
  - (i) Applicability dates. This section applies for plan years beginning on or after July 1, 2007.

[T.D. 9298, 71 FR 75030, Dec. 13, 2006; 72 FR 7929, Feb. 22, 2007, as a **nne**led by T.D. 9464, 74 FR 51678, **0.**D. 96207,728009; FR 33176, June 3, 2013; T.D. 9656, 79 FR 10305, Feb. 24, 2014] x

Need assistance?

### §447.51 Definitions.

As used in this part x

Alternative non-e rgreacy services provider means a Medicaid provider, such as a physician's office, health care clinic, community health center, hospital outpatient depart me or si ampirovider that can provide clinically appropriate services in a ti meannely me

me nt,

Contract health service means any health service that is:

- (1) Delivered based on a referral by, or at the e pense of, an Indian health program; and
- (2) Provided by a public or private medical provider or hospital that is not a provider or hospital of the IHS or any other Indian health program

Cost sharing me ans any compay nth coiasur nce, deductible, or other ilain charge.

Emergency services has the same meaning as in §438.114 of this chapter.

Federal poverty level (FPL) means the Federal poverty level updated periodically in the FEDERAL REGISTER by the Secretary of Health and Human Services under the authority of 42 U.S.C. 9902(2).

*Indian* means any individual defined at 25 U.S.C. 1603(13), 1603(28), or 1679(a), or who has been determined eligible as an Indian, under 42 CFR 136.12. This the individual:

- (1) Is a member of a Federally-recognized Indian tribe;
- (2) Resides in an urban center and e ne or mre of mto et stool lowing four criteria:
- (i) Is a member of a tribe, band, or other organized group of Indians, including those tribes, bands, or groups terminated since 1940 and those recognized now or in the future by the State in which they reside, or who is a descendant, in the first or second degree, of any such member:
  - (ii) Is an Eskimo or Aleut or other Alaska Native;
  - (iii) Is considered by the Secretary of the Interior to be an Indian for any purpose; or
  - (iv) Is determined to be an Indian under regulations pro cretary;
  - (3) Is considered by the Secretary of the Interior to be an Indian for any purpose; or
- (4) Is considered by the Secretary of Health and Human Services to be an Indian for purposes of eligibility for Indian health care services, including as a California Indian, Eski mo Aleut, or other Alaska Native.

Indian health care provider means a health care program operated by the Indian Health Service (IHS) or by an Indian Tribe, Tribal ization, or Urban Indian

(otherwise nown as an I/T/) as those ter Care I mp mentact (25 U.S.C. 603).

Inpatient stay means the services received during a continuous period of inpatient days in either a single medical institution or multiple medical institutions, and also includes a return to an inpatient medical institution after a brief period when the return is for treat me nt of condition that was present in the initial period. Inpatient has the same meaning as in §440.2 of this chapter.

Non-emergency services means any care or services that are not considered emergency services as defined in this section. This does not include any services furnished in a hospital emergency department that are required to be provided as an appropriate medical screening e a **ma**tion or stabilizing e amination and treat **nne** under section 1867 of the Act.

Outpatient services for purposes of i nousing cost sharing means any service or supply not meeting the definition of an inpatient stay.

Preferred drugs means drugs that the state has identified on a publicly available schedule as being determined by a pharmacy and therapeutics committee for clinical efficacy as the mo st effective drugs within each therapeutically equivalent or therapeutically si miss large of drugs, or all drugs within such a class if the agency does not differentiate between preferred x and non-preferred drugs.

Premium means any enrollment fee, premium, or other si har charge.

≜ Back to Top

### §156.145 Deter mi nation of . mi ni mum value

- (a) Acceptable throws for determi ing MV. An employer-sponsored plan provides mi value (MV) only if the percentage of the total allowed costs of benefits provided under the plan is greater than or equal to 60 percent, and the benefits under the plan include substantial coverage of inpatient hospital services and physician services. An employer-sponsored plan may use one of the following methods to determine whether the percentage of the total allowed costs of benefits provided under the plan is not less than 60 percent.
- (1) The MV Calculator to be made available by HHS and the Internal Revenue Service. The result derived from the calculator may be notified under the rules in paragraph (b) of this section.
  - (2) Any safe harbor established by HHS and the Internal Revenue Service.
- (3) A group health plan may seek certification by an actuary to determine MV if the plan contains non-standard features that are not suitable for either of the methods described in paragraphs (a)(1) or (2) of this section. The deter mi nation of e by a MV memb of the A c carde rings A cersActuari , based or an anal size perferor coordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and t me hodologies.
- (4) Any plan in the small group market that meets any of the levels of coverage, as described in §156.140 of this subpart, satisfies minimum value.
- (b) Benefits that may be counted towards the deter **maition** of MV. (1) In the event that a group health plan uses the MV Calculator and offers an HB outside of the parameters of the MV Calculator, the plan e ma a tyassy, what is caumember of the nAcade me Actuaries, to deter mit he relue of that benefit and adjust the result derived from the MV Calculator to reflect that value.
- (2) For the purposes of applying the options described in paragraph (a) of this section in determining M/v group health plan will be per the to take into account all benefits provided by the plan that are included in any one of the HB-bench ma rks.
- (c) Standard population. The standard population for MWeterminations described in paragraph (a) of this section is the standard population developed by HHS for such use and described through summary statistics issued by HHS. The standard population for MV must reflect the population covered by self-insured group health plans.
- (d) Employer contributions to health savings accounts and amounts made available under certain health reimb urse rrangeme ntræ nts. For e redgoup loyer-spons se health plans and insured group health plans that at the ti ase are offered in conjunction with an HSA or with integrated HRAs that be uscolsows hydroing. y ma e annual e mp r loyer cotrot HbSvAsenænd a mis newlynma e awdailable under such HRAs for the current year are: E

- (1) Counted towards the total anticipated that is paid by the health plan; and E
- (2) Adjusted to reflect the expected spending for health care costs in a benefit year so that:
  - (i) Any current year HSA contributions are accounted for; and
- (ii) The amounts newly made available under such integrated HRAs for the current year are accounted for.

[78 FR 12866, Feb. 25, 2013, as a

me nded at 80 FR 10872, Feb. 27, 2015] E

▲ Back to Top

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
California Health Benefit Exchange	Bahara Hosseini	bahara.hosseini@covered	TELEPHONE NUMBER .ca.g (916)228-8486
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Exemption Process			Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMP	ACTS Include calculations and	assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indic			
a. Impacts business and/or employees		orting requirements	
b. Impacts small businesses		criptive instead of performance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts indiv		
d. Impacts California competitiveness	<u> </u>	above (Explain below):	
<b>1</b> C <b>1 1 1</b>	T . T . T . T . T . T . T . T . T . T .		
		nplete this Economic Impact Statement scal Impact Statement as appropriate.	•
2, 5000 vit 10000 1100	is encencia, complete ine 1 is	си тирисі Бішетет из ирргоргіше.	
2. The	estimates that the eco	onomic impact of this regulation (which inclu	des the fiscal impact) is:
(Agency/Department)			
Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	t is over \$50 million, agencies are re nent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	equired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Im</u>	pact Assessment
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacte	.d.		
s. Effer the total number of businesses impacte	u:		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nor	nprofits)·		
			34
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesse	25:		
. Enter the number of businesses that will be cr	reated:	eliminated:	
Explain:			
Explair.			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide		
F	I ocal or regional (List areas)		
_			
i. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations imp	acted:		<u> </u>
			<u>.                                    </u>
. Will the regulation affect the ability of Californ	ia businesses te compete with		
other states by making it more costly to produ	ace goods or services here?	YES NO	
10.00			
If YES, explain briefly:			

PAGE 1

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumpt	tions in the rulemaking record.	
What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses a	and individuals may incur to comply with this regu	ulation over its lifetime? \$
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
c. Initial costs for an individual:	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:	=	
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total	al costs for each industry:	
3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping,	reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the	
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YE	ESNO 6, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$_	
II TES		
- Ann the one accommon half Feederal as multiplicated		····
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? YE		
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence of	or absence of Federal regulations:	8
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals	s that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$	7
ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value o	of benefits is not specifically required by rulemakin	ng law, but encouraged.
<ol> <li>Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which mealth and welfare of California residents, worker safety a</li> </ol>		
2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requires Explain:	<del></del>	based on broad statutory authority?
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation		
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doi	ing business within the State of California that wo	uld result from this regulation:
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calcul specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.		
I. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If n	o alternatives were considered, explain why not:	
		PAGE

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2.	Summarize the t	total statewide costs ar	d benefits from this reg	gulation and each alterna	tive considered:	
	Regulation:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$			
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$			
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$			
3.	Briefly discuss an	ny quantification issues	that are relevant to a co iis regulation or alterna	omparison		
4.	regulation mane	dates the use of speci	fic technologies or equ	standards as an alternati uipment, or prescribes sp ered to lower compliance	ecificvrc	□ NO
	Explain:					
_			J.			
Ε.	MAJOR REGUL	LATIONS Include calc	ulations and assumpti	ions in the rulemaking re	cord.	
				Agency (Cal/EPA) bo alth and Safety Code s		epartments are required to erwise, skip to E4.
1.	Will the estimate	ed costs of this regulati	on to California busine	ess enterprises exceed \$1	0 million? YES	NO
				If YES, complete E2.  If NO, skip to E		
2.	Briefly describe	each alternative, or cor	mbination of alternative	es, for which a cost-effect	iveness analysis was p	performed:
	Alternative 1:					1 0 0
	Alternative 2:				- E	
	(Attach addition	al pages fo <mark>r othe</mark> r altern	atives)			
,	For the vegulation	on and each alternativ	in fruit described enter	the estimated total cost a	and averall cost-offect	honoss ratio
э.			e just described, enter			
4.	Will the regulatio exceeding \$50 n after the major r	on subject to OAL revie million in any 12-montl regulation is estimated	w have an estimated ed	conomic impact to busing date the major regulation	ess enterprises and inc	dividuals located in or doing business in California d with the Secretary of State through12 months
		NO are reauired to submit a	Standardized Regulator	ry Impact Assessment (SRI)	as specified in	
				the Initial Statement of Rec		
5.	Briefly describe t					
	The increase or	decrease of investmen	t in the State:			
	The incentive fo	or innovation in produc	cts, materials or process	ses:		
				benefits to the health, sa ality of life, among any ot		alifornia I by the agency:
						PAGE

### **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

# (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500		× .
\$		
a. Funding provided in	<u> </u>	*
Budget Act of or Chapter, St	tatutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of		
Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500		
\$		
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:		
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	,	
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the		Court.
Case of:	VS.	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Pro		
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Pro	oposition No.	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Production:	oposition No.	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Pro	oposition No.	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Production:	oposition No.	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Property of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).	oposition No.	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Property of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).	oposition No.	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Property Date of Election:  Date of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).  Local entity(s) affected:	oposition No.	Code;
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Property of Election:  Date of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).  Local entity(s) affected:  e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:	oposition No.	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Property	mum, offset any additional costs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Property Date of Election:	mum, offset any additional costs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Property Date of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).  Local entity(s) affected:  e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:	mum, offset any additional costs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Property Date of Election:	mum, offset any additional costs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Production:  Date of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).  Local entity(s) affected:  e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:  Authorized by Section:  of the  f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimage.  g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained.  3. Annual Savings. (approximate)	mum, offset any additional costs	to each;
	mum, offset any additional costs	to each;

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and a year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain The Exchange will incur estimated costs of \$150,000 in FY 2019-20 and one	going. No impact to General
Fund. See attachment for details.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and att impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
<ul> <li>         ▼</li></ul>	
4. Other. Explain	
	+
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
a Amoli Tall	3-23-20
The sig <mark>nature</mark> attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sec he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta highest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
	323/20
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal In	npact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET <b>MAN</b> AGER	DATE

COVERED CALIFORNIA PROPOSED REGULATION EXEPMTION PROCESS STD. FORM 399

### **FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

Section B – Fiscal Effect on State Government Item 4 – Other. Explain. Total fiscal impact \$150,000

Section 6912 - Covered California will grant exemptions year-round for hardship and religious conscience. Total estimated fiscal impact resulting from this regulation will include software to automate the exemptions process, Service Center costs for manual verification, and costs of mailing notices as follows:

Fiscal Impact Description	Estin Impa	nated Fiscal
FY 19/20 Docusign / Salesforce cost	\$	150,000
FY 20/21 Docusign / Salesforce cost	\$	150,000
FY 21/22 Docusign / Salesforce cost	\$	150,000

1. Costs of notices are included in Docusign costs